

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



C10

DATE: DEC 26 2012

OFFICE: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE:



IN RE:

Petitioner:



PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Parent Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(vii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(vii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen with the field office or service center that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630, or a request for a fee waiver. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director (the director) denied the immigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks immigrant classification under section 204(a)(1)(A)(vii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(vii), as an alien parent battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by his United States citizen daughter. The director denied the petition on the basis of his determination that the petitioner failed to establish: (1) his eligibility for immigrant classification as an immediate relative under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1151(b)(2)(A)(i), because his citizen daughter is under the age of 21; (2) that he is a person of good moral character; (3) that he resides, or has resided, with his citizen daughter; and (4) that his citizen daughter subjected him to battery or extreme cruelty. On appeal, the petitioner submits a brief.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v). The petitioner's brief does not meaningfully address any of the director's grounds for denial of the petition. As the petitioner has not identified any specific, erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director's decision, the appeal must be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

In these proceedings, the petitioner bears the burden of proof to establish his eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375 (AAO 2010). He has not met his burden and the appeal will be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.