





U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

(b)(6)

Date: **OCT 24 2014**

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER File: 

IN RE: Self-Petitioner: 

PETITION: Petition for Immigrant Abused Parent Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(vii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(vii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:


SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

  
Ron Rosenberg

Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Vermont Service Center director (“the director”) denied the immigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner filed a Form I-360 Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant seeking a discretionary grant of deferred action and a work permit based on having a U.S. citizen child. The director denied the petition for failure to establish eligibility as an alien parent battered or subjected to extreme cruelty by her U.S. citizen daughter or son under section 204(a)(1)(A)(vii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(vii), and her corresponding eligibility for immigrant classification based on that qualifying relationship.

On appeal, the petitioner briefly reiterates that she is requesting humanitarian relief as the parent of a U.S. citizen child.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

*Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. . . .

The petitioner does not address the director’s decision or submit any new evidence on appeal. Rather, the petitioner repeats her request for humanitarian relief on a Form I-360, her third self-petition requesting the same relief.<sup>1</sup> Because she has not identified any specific, erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director’s decision, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.

---

<sup>1</sup> See Form I-360 (receipt number [REDACTED], filed May 2, 2011, and denied on January 13, 2012, and Form I-360 (receipt number [REDACTED], filed August 28, 2012, and denied on January 22, 2013.