

~~identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy~~



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

1

**PUBLIC COPY**



FILE: WAC 06 280 54068 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: JAN 25 2008

IN RE: Petitioner:   
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a Turkish language television company. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a consulting engineer and endeavors to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition because a certified labor condition application (LCA) was not obtained prior to the filing of the Form I-129 petition. On appeal, the petitioner acknowledges that the an LCA for the present petition was not obtained prior to the filing of the petition, and that obtaining the LCA was made more difficult because of computer problems with Department of Labor. The present petition is an extension petition for continuation of previously approved employment without change and with the same employer.

The issue to be discussed in this proceeding is whether a certified LCA was obtained prior to the filing of the Form I-129 petition.

Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b), provides, in part, for the classification of qualified nonimmigrant aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation.

Section 101(a)(15)(H) of the Act defines an H-1B nonimmigrant as:

[A]n alien who is coming temporarily to the United States to perform services . . . in a specialty occupation . . . and with respect to whom the Secretary of Labor determines and certifies to the Attorney General that the intending employer has filed with the Secretary of Labor an application under section 212(a)(n)(1) . . . .

Title 8, Code of Federal Regulations, part 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(B)(1) provides that the petitioner shall submit with an H-1B petition "a certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary." The regulations further provide:

Before filing a petition for H-1B classification in a specialty occupation the petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application in the occupational specialty in which the alien(s) will be employed.

8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1).

It is noted that this is an extension petition for continuation of previously approved employment without change, and with the same employer. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(15)(ii)(B)(1) indicates that any request for extension must be accompanied by either a new certification or a photocopy of the prior certification from the Department of Labor showing that the petitioner continues to have on file an LCA valid for the period of requested employment. The petitioner did not submit a copy of any previously certified LCA that was valid for the intended dates of employment.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(12), "an application or petition shall be denied where evidence submitted in response to a request for initial evidence does not establish filing eligibility at the time the application or petition was filed. . . ." The Form I-129 petition was filed September 26, 2006. A properly certified LCA for

the beneficiary's intended work location was not submitted at the time of filing. The director then submitted a request for evidence (RFE) requesting, in part, that the petitioner submit a properly certified LCA for intended dates of employment. In response to that request the petitioner submitted an LCA certified on December 12, 2006, subsequent to the filing of the initial petition. The petition must, accordingly, be denied because certification was not obtained prior to the filing of the H-1B petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has failed to sustain that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.