

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy





U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



51

FILE: EAC 07 130 51229 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: **OCT 15 2008**

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 


PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.


Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a video production firm that seeks to employ the beneficiary as a production manager. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The 2008 fiscal-year cap for the issuance of H-1B visas, set by section 214(g)(1)(A) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(g)(1)(A), was reached as of April 1, 2007. The cap for those who graduated from a United States institution with an advanced degree was reached on May 1, 2007. Although the petitioner filed the Form I-129 petition on April 2, 2007, the petition was accepted and adjudicated because the petitioner indicated on the Form I-129 that the beneficiary met the cap exemption criterion at section 214(g)(5)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(g)(5)(C), as a beneficiary who, in the words of the Act, “has earned a master's or higher degree from a United States institution of higher education (as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a)).”

The director denied the petition on the ground that the beneficiary did not meet the requirements specified in section 214(g)(5)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(g)(5)(C), and thus the beneficiary was subject to the annual cap.

On appeal, the petitioner asserts that the beneficiary completed her coursework for her master's degree as of April 1, 2007. The petitioner submitted with the appeal a photocopy of a letter signed by [REDACTED] Professor and Graduate Deputy Chair, Department of Television and Radio, Brooklyn College, dated July 1, 2007 wherein [REDACTED] states that the beneficiary completed all assignments and earned a Master of Fine Arts Degree in Television Production “as of April 1, 2007.” This letter conflicts with a letter provided by [REDACTED], Professor and Chair, Brooklyn College, dated July 11, 2007 which indicates that the beneficiary has completed all assignments and earned a master's degree as of June 1, 2007. The letter from [REDACTED] does not contain the same university logo as the letter from [REDACTED]. The beneficiary also submitted a letter from [REDACTED], registrar at Brooklyn College dated February 14, 2007 which indicates that the applicant was not attending school in the 2006 fall term, had completed 45.0 credits toward a master's degree, and was expected to graduate in June of 2006. The record contains no explanation for any of the conflicting information. The applicant provides no proof that she actually received a master's degree from Brooklyn College.

The AAO bases its decision upon its consideration of all of the evidence in the record of proceeding, including: (1) the petitioner's Form I-129 (Petition for Nonimmigrant Worker) and the supporting documentation filed with it; (2) the director's denial letter; and (3) the Form I-290B, and supporting documentation.

Section 214(g)(5)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(g)(5)(C) as modified by the American Competitiveness in the Twenty-first Century Act (AC21), Pub. L. No. 106-313 (October 17, 2000), states, in relevant part, that

the H-1B cap shall not apply to any nonimmigrant alien issued a visa or otherwise provided status under section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Act who “has earned a master's or higher degree from a United States institution of higher education (as defined in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a)) until the number of aliens who are exempted from such numerical limitation during such year exceeds 20,000.”

The exemption criterion at section 214(g)(5)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(g)(5)(C), requires that the beneficiary earn a “master’s or higher degree from a United States institution of higher learning.” The record does not establish that the beneficiary has earned a master’s degree. As such, the AAO finds that the evidence of record does not establish that the beneficiary is exempt from the H-1B visa cap under the requirements of section 214(g)(5)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(g)(5)(C) because the beneficiary had not earned a master’s degree at the time that the petition was filed. Accordingly, the AAO will not disturb the director’s denial of the petition

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.