

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy
PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services



D14

Date: **FEB 22 2012** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: PETITIONER:

PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center ("the director"), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("the Act"), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

Applicable Law

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U) provides U nonimmigrant classification to alien victims of certain qualifying criminal activity and their qualifying family members. Section 214(p)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(p)(1) states:

The petition filed by an alien under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) shall contain a certification from a Federal, State, or local law enforcement official, prosecutor, judge, or other Federal, State, or local authority investigating criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii). This certification may also be provided by an official of the Service whose ability to provide such certification is not limited to information concerning immigration violations. This certification shall state that the alien "has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful" in the investigation or prosecution of criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii).

Regarding the application procedures for U nonimmigrant classification, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c) states, in pertinent part:

(2) *Initial evidence.* Form I-918 must include the following initial evidence:

(i) Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification," signed by a certifying official within the six months immediately preceding the filing of Form I-918. The certification must state that: the person signing the certificate is the head of the certifying agency, or any person(s) in a supervisory role who has been specifically designated by the head of the certifying agency to issue U nonimmigrant status certifications on behalf of that agency, or is a Federal, State, or local judge; the agency is a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, or prosecutor, judge or other authority, that has responsibility for the detection, investigation, prosecution, conviction, or sentencing of qualifying criminal activity; the applicant has been a victim of qualifying criminal activity that the certifying official's agency is investigating or prosecuting; the petitioner possesses information concerning the qualifying criminal activity of which he or she has been a victim; the petitioner has been, is being, or is likely to be helpful to an investigation or prosecution of that qualifying criminal activity; and the qualifying criminal activity violated U.S. law, or

occurred in the United States, its territories, its possessions, Indian country, or at military installations abroad.

The burden of proof is on the petitioner to demonstrate eligibility for U nonimmigrant classification. 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4). All credible evidence relevant to the petition will be considered. Section 214(p)(4) of the Act; 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4) (setting forth evidentiary standards and burden of proof).

Factual and Procedural History

The petitioner is native and citizen of Honduras, who claims to have entered the United States in January 1994 without being inspected, admitted or paroled by an immigration officer. On September 7, 2010, the petitioner filed a Form I-918 U petition without the *U Nonimmigrant Status Certification* (Form I-918 Supplement B). The director subsequently denied the petition due to the lack of initial evidence.¹ On appeal, the petitioner through counsel submits a Form I-918 Supplement B, dated March 14, 2011; a Form I-192; a victim's impact statement; and other evidence.

Analysis

The AAO conducts appellate review on a de novo basis. *See Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). Upon review, we find no error in the director's decision to deny the petition, as petitioner's submission on appeal of an original Form I-918 Supplement B, dated March 14, 2011, does not warrant a withdrawal of the director's decision.

The petitioner filed her Form I-918 U petition on September 7, 2010 and was required to submit a Form I-918 Supplement B as initial evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i). The petitioner did not, however, submit a properly completed Form I-918 Supplement B until after the denial of the Form I-918 U petition. In addition, this Form I-918 Supplement B, dated March 14, 2011, was not signed by the certifying official within the six months preceding the September 7, 2010 filing date of the Form I-918 U petition, as required by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i).

Conclusion

The petitioner has not complied with the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i) regarding the submission of required initial evidence. For this reason, her appeal must be dismissed and her petition must remain denied. However, the denial of the petitioner's instant Form I-918 U petition is without prejudice to the filing of a new Form I-918 U petition with a Form I-918 Supplement B that meets the requirements of the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i). In these proceedings, the

¹In addition, the director noted in the denial letter that the petitioner had failed to submit a victim's impact statement and provide a copy of her passport. The director noted further that the petitioner was inadmissible to the United States and she had not submitted an Application for Advance Permission to Enter as a Nonimmigrant (Form I-192).



Page 4

burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4). Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.