


identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



S/14

DATE: Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE: 

**JUN 19 2012**  
IN RE: Petitioner: 

PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)


ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

  
Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director of the Vermont Service Center (“the director”) denied the Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification (Form I-918 U petition), and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected. The petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (“the Act”), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)(i), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

On October 13, 2010, the petitioner filed a Form I-918 U petition with an incomplete and unsigned *U Nonimmigrant Status Certification* (Form I-918 Supplement B). The director subsequently denied the petition due to the lack of initial evidence. The director also found that the petitioner had not established that he was an indirect victim of the qualifying criminal activity of murder. On April 19, 2011, an attorney filed a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, to appeal the director’s adverse decision and attached a brief. The attorney did not however, attach a Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative, along with the appeal filing.<sup>1</sup>

On May 18, 2012, the AAO sent a facsimile to the attorney requesting that he submit a properly executed Form G-28 within seven days. To date, no response to the facsimile has been received.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a) governs appearances by attorneys or representatives. It states, in pertinent part: “A notice of appearance entered in application or petition proceedings must be signed by the applicant or petitioner to authorize representation in order for the appearance to be recognized by the Service.” Here, the record on appeal does not include a properly filed Form G-28. An appeal that is filed without a properly executed Form G-28 is considered an improperly filed appeal and it must be rejected. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(2)(i).<sup>2</sup>

Pursuant to section 291 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361, the burden of proof is upon the petitioner to establish eligibility for the benefit it is seeking. Here, the petitioner has not met his burden. Accordingly, the AAO rejects the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected. The petition remains denied.

---

<sup>1</sup> In accordance with the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a) as well as the instructions to the Form I-290B, a “new [Form G-28] must be filed with an appeal filed with the Administrative Appeals Office.” This regulation applies to all appeals filed on or after March 4, 2010. See 75 Fed. Reg. 5225 (Feb. 2, 2010).

<sup>2</sup> The AAO also notes the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(2)(iii), which provides that an appeal may be considered properly filed as of its original filing date only if the attorney or representative submits a properly executed Form G-28 entitling that person to file the appeal.