

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave. N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

[Redacted]

Date: **MAR 08 2013**

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE: [Redacted]

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[Redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or motion, with a fee of \$630, or a request for a fee waiver. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,


Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

(b)(6)

Page 2

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

Section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act, provides for U nonimmigrant classification to alien victims of certain criminal activity who assist government officials in investigating or prosecuting such criminal activity. Section 212(d)(14) of the Act requires U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) to determine whether any grounds of inadmissibility exist when adjudicating a Form I-918 U petition, and provides USCIS with the authority to waive certain grounds of inadmissibility as a matter of discretion.

The director denied the Form I-918 because although the petitioner met the criteria for U-1 nonimmigrant status at section 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(I) of the Act, the petitioner was inadmissible to the United States and her request for a waiver of inadmissibility (Form I-192, Application for Advance Permission to Enter as Nonimmigrant) had been denied.

On appeal, counsel does not contest the petitioner's inadmissibility, but claims the director improperly found that she did not merit a waiver of inadmissibility.

All nonimmigrants must establish their admissibility to the United States or show that any grounds of inadmissibility have been waived. 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(a)(3)(i). For aliens seeking U nonimmigrant status who are inadmissible to the United States, the regulations at 8 C.F.R. §§ 212.17, 214.14(c)(2)(iv) require the filing of a Form I-192 application in conjunction with a Form I-918 U petition in order to waive any ground of inadmissibility. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 212.17(b)(3) states in pertinent part: "There is no appeal of a decision to deny a waiver." As the AAO does not have jurisdiction to review whether the director properly denied the Form I-192 application, the AAO cannot address counsel's claims regarding why the petitioner's waiver request should have been granted. The only issue before the AAO is whether the director was correct in finding the petitioner to be inadmissible and, therefore, requiring an approved Form I-192 pursuant to 8 C.F.R. §§ 212.17, 214.14(c)(2)(iv).

The Petitioner's Inadmissibility

The record shows that the petitioner was convicted of conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute controlled substances in violation of sections 1841(a)(1) and 846 and Title 21 of the U.S. Code (U.S.C.).¹ The petitioner was sentenced to sixty months in federal prison. The petitioner is consequently inadmissible under subsections 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Act for having been convicted

¹ United States District Court, [REDACTED] (Oct. 12, 2007).

(b)(6)

Page 3

of violating a law relating to a controlled substance, and 212(a)(2)(C) of the Act for reason to believe the petitioner is a controlled substance trafficker.

A full review of the record supports the director's determination that the petitioner is inadmissible under subsections 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I) and (a)(2)(C) of the Act. Counsel does not contest the beneficiary's inadmissibility on appeal and submits no evidence or legal analysis to overcome the director's inadmissibility determination.

The director denied the petitioner's application for a waiver of inadmissibility and we have no jurisdiction to review the denial of a Form I-192 submitted in connection with a U petition. 8 C.F.R. § 212.17(b)(3).

Conclusion

In these proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4). Although the petitioner has met the statutory eligibility requirements for U nonimmigrant classification, she has not established that she is admissible to the United States or that her grounds of inadmissibility have been waived. She is consequently ineligible for nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(a)(3)(i).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.