

(b)(6)


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services




Date: **DEC 10 2014** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE: 

IN RE: PETITIONER: 

PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed and the petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

The director denied the petition, determining that the petitioner was ineligible for U nonimmigrant status because the petitioner was inadmissible to the United States and her Form I-192 waiver of inadmissibility had been denied.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Here, counsel for the petitioner checked box 2b in part 3 of the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B), dated May 31, 2013, which states that the petitioner is filing an appeal and that a “brief and/or additional evidence will be submitted to the AAO within 30 days.” However, to date, over sixteen months later, the AAO has received nothing further from the petitioner in support of her appeal. In Part 3 of the Form I-290B, counsel for the petitioner does not identify any factual or legal error in the director’s decision based on the current record. Instead, counsel requests additional time for the director to adjudicate the petitioner’s motion to reopen and reconsider her denied Form I-192, Application for Advance Permission to Enter as Nonimmigrant. In a decision regarding the petitioner’s motion of the denied Form I-192, dated May 27, 2014, the director affirmed the prior decision and we have received no further evidence or arguments in support of the instant appeal.

The petitioner here has not identified any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director’s decision in support of her appeal, as required by regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v). The AAO, therefore, will summarily dismiss the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed. The petition remains denied.