


U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services


(b)(6)



Date: FEB 19 2015


Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE:



IN RE:

PETITIONER:



PETITION:

Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Acting Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed and the petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

The director denied the petition because the petitioner did not establish that: he was the victim of qualifying criminal activity; he suffered resultant substantial physical or mental abuse; he possessed information regarding qualifying criminal activity; he was helpful in the investigation or prosecution of qualifying criminal activity; or that the criminal activity violated the laws of the United States or occurred in the United States. On appeal, the petitioner submits a statement and copies of documents already included in the record.

Applicable Law

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, for U nonimmigrant classification to:

(i) subject to section 214(p), an alien who files a petition for status under this subparagraph, if the Secretary of Homeland Security determines that --

- (I) the alien has suffered substantial physical or mental abuse as a result of having been a victim of criminal activity described in clause (iii);
- (II) the alien . . . possesses information concerning criminal activity described in clause (iii);
- (III) the alien . . . has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement official, to a Federal, State, or local prosecutor, to a Federal or State judge, to the Service, or to other Federal, State, or local authorities investigating or prosecuting criminal activity described in clause (ii); and
- (IV) the criminal activity described in clause (iii) violated the laws of the United States or occurred in the United States (including in Indian country and military installations) or the territories and possessions of the United States;

* * *

(iii) the criminal activity referred to in this clause is that involving one or more of the following or any similar activity in violation of Federal, State, or local criminal law: rape; torture; trafficking; incest; domestic violence; sexual assault; abusive sexual contact; prostitution; sexual exploitation; stalking; female genital mutilation; being held hostage; peonage; involuntary servitude; slave trade; kidnapping; abduction; unlawful criminal restraint; false imprisonment; blackmail; extortion; manslaughter; murder; felonious assault; witness tampering; obstruction of justice; perjury; fraud in

foreign labor contracting (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1351); or attempt, conspiracy, or solicitation to commit any of the above mentioned crimes[.]¹

The eligibility requirements for U nonimmigrant classification are further explicated in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14, which states, in pertinent part:

(b) *Eligibility.* An alien is eligible for U-1 nonimmigrant status if he or she demonstrates all of the following . . . :

(1) The alien has suffered substantial physical or mental abuse as a result of having been a victim of qualifying criminal activity. Whether abuse is substantial is based on a number of factors, including but not limited to: The nature of the injury inflicted or suffered; the severity of the perpetrator's conduct; the severity of the harm suffered; the duration of the infliction of the harm; and the extent to which there is permanent or serious harm to the appearance, health, or physical or mental soundness of the victim, including aggravation of pre-existing conditions. No single factor is a prerequisite to establish that the abuse suffered was substantial. Also, the existence of one or more of the factors automatically does not create a presumption that the abuse suffered was substantial. A series of acts taken together may be considered to constitute substantial physical or mental abuse even where no single act alone rises to that level;

(2) The alien possesses credible and reliable information establishing that he or she has knowledge of the details concerning the qualifying criminal activity upon which his or her petition is based. The alien must possess specific facts regarding the criminal activity leading a certifying official to determine that the petitioner has, is, or is likely to provide assistance to the investigation or prosecution of the qualifying criminal activity. . . .

(3) The alien has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful to a certifying agency in the investigation or prosecution of the qualifying criminal activity upon which his or her petition is based, and since the initiation of cooperation, has not refused or failed to provide information and assistance reasonably requested. . . . ; and

(4) The qualifying criminal activity occurred in the United States (including Indian country and U.S. military installations) or in the territories or possessions of the United States, or violated a U.S. federal law that provides for extraterritorial jurisdiction to prosecute the offense in a U.S. federal court.

¹ The crimes of stalking and fraud in labor contracting as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1351 were not listed as qualifying criminal activities when the petitioner filed the instant Petition for U Nonimmigrant Status. The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, Public Law No. 113-4 (VAWA 2013), which came into effect on March 7, 2013, amended section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii) of the Act to include these two crimes as qualifying criminal activities.

In addition, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4), prescribes the evidentiary standards and burden of proof in these proceedings:

The burden shall be on the petitioner to demonstrate eligibility for U-1 nonimmigrant status. The petitioner may submit any credible evidence relating to his or her Form I-918 for consideration by [U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)]. USCIS shall conduct a de novo review of all evidence submitted in connection with Form I-918 and may investigate any aspect of the petition. Evidence previously submitted for this or other immigration benefit or relief may be used by USCIS in evaluating the eligibility of a petitioner for U-1 nonimmigrant status. However, USCIS will not be bound by its previous factual determinations. USCIS will determine, in its sole discretion, the evidentiary value of previously or concurrently submitted evidence, including Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification."

Facts and Procedural History

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Mexico who claims to have initially entered the United States on October 19, 1999, without admission, inspection or parole. The petitioner filed the instant Petition for U Nonimmigrant Status (Form I-918 U petition) with an accompanying U Nonimmigrant Status Certification (Form I-918 Supplement B) on November 19, 2012. The petitioner also filed an Application for Advance Permission to Enter as Nonimmigrant (Form I-192) on the same day. On October 18, 2013, the director issued a Request for Evidence (RFE) that the crime listed on the law enforcement certification was a qualifying crime, that the petitioner suffered substantial physical or mental abuse as a result of qualifying criminal activity, and that he was helpful to law enforcement. The petitioner responded with an updated Form I-918 Supplement B and additional evidence, which the director found insufficient to establish the petitioner's eligibility. Accordingly, the director denied the Form I-918 U petition and Form I-192. The petitioner timely appealed the denial of the Form I-918 U petition. The petitioner states on appeal that he has suffered substantial physical or mental abuse as a result of being the victim of qualifying criminal activity.

Claimed Criminal Activity

In his declarations, the petitioner recounted that on October [REDACTED] when he was 13 years old, he was riding his bike home from school when he was hit by a car. The driver did not get out of his car, and when the petitioner got up off the ground and moved his bike, the driver drove off. When the police arrived, the petitioner gave a statement and went home. When he got home, his mother took him to a doctor.

The petitioner submitted two Forms I-918 Supplement B; one at the time of initial filing and one in response to the director's RFE. The first Form I-918 Supplement B that the petitioner submitted was signed by Detective [REDACTED] California, Police Department, on October 9, 2012. Detective [REDACTED] checked "other" but did not list a criminal activity of which the petitioner was a victim at Part 3.1, and indicated at Part 3.3 that felony hit and run with injuries was investigated without listing a statutory citation for the crime. When describing the criminal activity being investigated or prosecuted, Detective [REDACTED] indicated that hit and run was investigated. At Part 3.6, which asks for a description of any known or

Page 5

documented injury to the petitioner, Detective [REDACTED] indicated that the petitioner complained of “pain to calf area and shoulder.”

The second Form I-918 Supplement B that the petitioner submitted along with his RFE response was signed by Sergeant [REDACTED] Detective Bureau, [REDACTED] California, Police Department (certifying official), on December 17, 2013, and it contains the exact same information as the first Form I-918 Supplement B.

Analysis

We conduct appellate review on a *de novo* basis. Based on the evidence in the record, we find no error in the director’s decision to deny the petitioner’s Form I-918 U petition.

Victim of Qualifying Criminal Activity

The Traffic Collision Report from the [REDACTED] Police Department indicates that a failure to yield the right-of-way to a pedestrian was investigated, and the Forms I-918 Supplement B indicate that felony hit and run with injuries was investigated. These types of crimes are not specifically listed as qualifying crimes at section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii) of the Act. Although the statute encompasses “any similar activity” to the enumerated crimes, the regulation defines “any similar activity” as “criminal offenses in which the nature and elements of the offenses are substantially similar to the statutorily enumerated list of criminal activities.” 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(a)(9). Thus, the nature and elements of the crimes investigated must be substantially similar to one of the qualifying criminal activities in the statutorily enumerated list. 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(a)(9). The inquiry, therefore, is not fact-based, but rather entails comparing the nature and elements of the statutes in question. Here, the petitioner does not provide any new evidence or analysis to show that the elements of the crime(s) investigated are substantially similar to any of the qualifying crimes listed in the Act.

The record contains no evidence that the certifying official or any other law enforcement entity investigated a qualifying crime. The traffic collision report indicates that the petitioner was hit by a vehicle that fled the scene, and a traffic violation was investigated. On appeal, the petitioner claims that he has suffered “great anguish” as a result of the incident, and he can no longer play soccer. As stated above, the proper inquiry is not an analysis of the factual details underlying the criminal activity, but a comparison of the nature and elements of the crimes that were investigated and the qualifying crimes. See 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(a)(9). The petitioner has not shown that a qualifying crime was investigated by the law enforcement agency, or that the crime(s) investigated are substantially similar to any qualifying criminal activity. The petitioner is, therefore, not the victim of any qualifying criminal activity, as required by section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act.

Substantial Physical or Mental Abuse

As the petitioner did not establish that he was the victim of qualifying criminal activity, he has also failed to establish that he suffered substantial physical or mental abuse as a result of having been a victim of qualifying criminal activity, as required by section 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(I) of the Act.

Possession of Information Concerning Qualifying Criminal Activity

As the petitioner did not establish that he was the victim of qualifying criminal activity, he has also failed to establish that he possesses information concerning such a crime or activity, as required by section 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(II) of the Act.

Helpfulness to Authorities Investigating or Prosecuting the Qualifying Criminal Activity

As the petitioner did not establish that he was the victim of qualifying criminal activity, he has also failed to establish that he has been, is being or is likely to be helpful to a federal, state, or local law enforcement official, prosecutor, federal or state judge, USCIS or other federal, state or local authorities investigating or prosecuting qualifying criminal activity, as required by subsection 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(III) of the Act.

Jurisdiction

As the petitioner did not establish that he was the victim of qualifying criminal activity, he has also failed to establish that the qualifying criminal activity occurred in the United States (including Indian country and U.S. military installations) or in the territories or possessions of the United States, or violated a U.S. federal law that provides for extraterritorial jurisdiction to prosecute the offense in a U.S. federal court, as required by section 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(IV) of the Act.

Conclusion

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.