



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)



Date: **JAN 30 2015** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE: 

IN RE: PETITIONER: 

PETITION: Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

 Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Acting Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed and the petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

The director denied the petition because the petitioner did not establish that: she was the victim of qualifying criminal activity; she suffered resultant substantial physical or mental abuse; she possessed information regarding qualifying criminal activity; she was helpful in the investigation or prosecution of qualifying criminal activity; or that the criminal activity violated the laws of the United States or occurred in the United States. On appeal, the petitioner submits a statement and additional evidence.

Applicable Law

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, for U nonimmigrant classification to:

(i) subject to section 214(p), an alien who files a petition for status under this subparagraph, if the Secretary of Homeland Security determines that --

- (I) the alien has suffered substantial physical or mental abuse as a result of having been a victim of criminal activity described in clause (iii);
- (II) the alien . . . possesses information concerning criminal activity described in clause (iii);
- (III) the alien . . . has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement official, to a Federal, State, or local prosecutor, to a Federal or State judge, to the Service, or to other Federal, State, or local authorities investigating or prosecuting criminal activity described in clause (iii); and
- (IV) the criminal activity described in clause (iii) violated the laws of the United States or occurred in the United States (including in Indian country and military installations) or the territories and possessions of the United States;

* * *

(iii) the criminal activity referred to in this clause is that involving one or more of the following or any similar activity in violation of Federal, State, or local criminal law: . . . stalking; . . . or attempt, conspiracy, or solicitation to commit any of the above mentioned crimes[.]¹

¹ The crimes of stalking and fraud in labor contracting as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1351 were not listed as qualifying criminal activities when the petitioner filed the instant Form I-918 U petition. The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, Public Law No. 113-4 (VAWA 2013), which came into effect on March 7, 2013, amended section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii) of the Act to include these two crimes as qualifying criminal activities.

In addition, section 214(p)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(p)(1) states:

The petition filed by an alien under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) shall contain a certification from a Federal, State, or local law enforcement official, prosecutor, judge, or other Federal, State, or local authority investigating criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii). This certification may also be provided by an official of the Service whose ability to provide such certification is not limited to information concerning immigration violations. This certification shall state that the alien "has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful" in the investigation or prosecution of criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii).

Regarding the application procedures for U nonimmigrant classification, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c) states, in pertinent part:

(2) *Initial evidence.* Form I-918 must include the following initial evidence:

- (i) Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification," signed by a certifying official within the six months immediately preceding the filing of Form I-918[.]

* * *

Further, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4), prescribes the evidentiary standards and burden of proof in these proceedings:

The burden shall be on the petitioner to demonstrate eligibility for U-1 nonimmigrant status. The petitioner may submit any credible evidence relating to his or her Form I-918 for consideration by [U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)]. USCIS shall conduct a de novo review of all evidence submitted in connection with Form I-918 and may investigate any aspect of the petition. Evidence previously submitted for this or other immigration benefit or relief may be used by USCIS in evaluating the eligibility of a petitioner for U-1 nonimmigrant status. However, USCIS will not be bound by its previous factual determinations. USCIS will determine, in its sole discretion, the evidentiary value of previously or concurrently submitted evidence, including Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification."

Facts and Procedural History

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Latvia who entered the United States on July 6, 2011, on a B-2 nonimmigrant visa. The petitioner filed the instant Petition for U Nonimmigrant Status (Form I-918 U petition) with an accompanying U Nonimmigrant Status Certification (Form I-918 Supplement B) on June 12, 2012. On August 19, 2013, the director issued a Request for Evidence (RFE) that the crime listed on the law enforcement certification was a qualifying crime, that the petitioner suffered substantial physical or mental abuse as a result of the qualifying criminal activity, that the crime occurred in the United States or violated the laws of the United States, and evidence that the officer who signed the Form I-918 Supplement B was a qualified certifying official. The director also requested an Application for Advance Permission to

Page 4

Enter as Nonimmigrant (Form I-192) to waive the petitioner's ground of inadmissibility. The petitioner responded with a Form I-192 and additional evidence, which the director found insufficient to establish the petitioner's eligibility. Accordingly, the director denied the Form I-918 U petition and Form I-192. The petitioner appealed the denial of the Form I-918 U petition.

On appeal, the petitioner asserts that some of the qualifying criminal activity, stalking, occurred in the United States and she has suffered resultant physical and mental abuse. In support of her claims, the petitioner submits an updated Form I-918 Supplement B and additional evidence.

Analysis

We conduct appellate review on a *de novo* basis. Based on the evidence in the record, we find no error in the director's decision to deny the petitioner's Form I-918 U petition.

The petitioner filed her Form I-918 U petition on June 12, 2012, and was required to submit a Form I-918 Supplement B as initial evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i). The submission of a Form I-918 Supplement B is required by statute at section 214(p)(1) of the Act ("The petition filed by an alien under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) shall contain a certification"). As provided by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i), a Form I-918 U petition "must include" as initial evidence a Form I-918 Supplement B "signed by a certifying official within the six months immediately preceding the filing of Form I-918." The record does not show that a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B was filed with the Form I-918 U petition, and the petitioner failed to file an updated Form I-918 Supplement B in response to the RFE issued by the director on August 19, 2013. Although the petitioner submits a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B on appeal, we lack authority to waive the requirements of the statute, as implemented by the regulations. See *United States v. Nixon*, 418 U.S. 683, 695-96 (1974) (as long as regulations remain in force, they are binding on government officials). As the petitioner failed to submit required initial evidence with her Form I-918 U petition, she has failed to establish her eligibility for U nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act. See subsections 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(I)–(IV) of the Act (requiring qualifying criminal activity for all prongs of eligibility).

Conclusion

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has not been met. However, the denial of the petitioner's instant Form I-918 U petition is without prejudice to the filing of a new Form I-918 U petition with a new Form I-918 Supplement B that meets the requirements of section 214(p)(1) of the Act and the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.