

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

(b)(6)

Date:

MAR 19 2015

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

FILE:

IN RE:

PETITIONER:

PETITION:

Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Acting Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the U nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed and the petition will remain denied.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

The director denied the petition because the petitioner did not submit a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B, U Nonimmigrant Status Certification (Form I-918 Supplement B) at the time of filing the nonimmigrant U petition (Form I-918 U petition). On appeal, the petitioner submits a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B.

*Applicable Law*

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), provides U nonimmigrant classification to alien victims of certain qualifying criminal activity and their qualifying family members. Section 214(p)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(p)(1) states:

The petition filed by an alien under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) shall contain a certification from a Federal, State, or local law enforcement official, prosecutor, judge, or other Federal, State, or local authority investigating criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii). This certification may also be provided by an official of the Service whose ability to provide such certification is not limited to information concerning immigration violations. This certification shall state that the alien “has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful” in the investigation or prosecution of criminal activity described in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii).

Regarding the application procedures for U nonimmigrant classification, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c) states, in pertinent part:

(2) *Initial evidence.* Form I-918 must include the following initial evidence:

- (i) Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification," signed by a certifying official within the six months immediately preceding the filing of Form I-918[.]

\* \* \*

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4), prescribes the evidentiary standards and burden of proof in these proceedings:

The burden shall be on the petitioner to demonstrate eligibility for U-1 nonimmigrant status. The petitioner may submit any credible evidence relating to his or her Form I-918 for consideration by [U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)]. USCIS shall conduct a de novo review of all evidence submitted in connection with Form I-918 and may investigate any aspect of the petition.

Evidence previously submitted for this or other immigration benefit or relief may be used by USCIS in evaluating the eligibility of a petitioner for U-1 nonimmigrant status. However, USCIS will not be bound by its previous factual determinations. USCIS will determine, in its sole discretion, the evidentiary value of previously or concurrently submitted evidence, including Form I-918, Supplement B, "U Nonimmigrant Status Certification."

### *Facts and Procedural History*

The petitioner, a native and citizen of El Salvador, was last paroled into the United States on February 12, 2014, as a temporary protective status (TPS) holder. The petitioner filed the instant Form I-918 U petition on April 1, 2014, but did not simultaneously file a properly completed Form I-918 Supplement B signed by an authorized certifying official. The director subsequently denied the petition because the petitioner failed to submit a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B. The petitioner has appealed the denial of the Form I-918 U petition, and on appeal, submits a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B.

### *Analysis*

We review these proceedings *de novo*. A full review of the record, including the evidence submitted on appeal, fails to establish the petitioner's eligibility. The appeal will be dismissed for the following reasons.

The submission of a Form I-918 Supplement B is required by statute at section 214(p)(1) of the Act ("The petition filed by an alien under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) shall contain a certification . . ."). As provided by the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i), a Form I-918 U petition "must include" as initial evidence a Form I-918 Supplement B "signed by a certifying official within the six months immediately preceding the filing of Form I-918." The petitioner did not file his Form I-918 U petition with the required initial evidence, and the Form I-918 Supplement B submitted on appeal was not signed within the six-month period preceding the filing of his nonimmigrant U petition. We do not have authority to waive the requirements of the statute, as implemented by the regulations. *See United States v. Nixon*, 418 U.S. 683, 695-96 (1974) (as long as regulations remain in force, they are binding on government officials).

Accordingly, the petitioner's filing of a properly executed Form I-918 Supplement B subsequent to USCIS's receipt of his U petition fails to conform to the regulatory requirements listed at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i) for required initial evidence, and he therefore has failed to establish his eligibility for U nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act. *See* subsections 101(a)(15)(U)(i)(I)-(IV) of the Act (requiring qualifying criminal activity for all prongs of eligibility).

### *Conclusion*

The petitioner did not comply with the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(2)(i) regarding the submission of initial evidence at the time he filed his petition. The petitioner is consequently ineligible for nonimmigrant classification pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act and his petition must remain denied. The dismissal of this appeal is without prejudice to the petitioner filing a new nonimmigrant U petition with the required law enforcement certification as initial evidence.

(b)(6)

*NON-PRECEDENT DECISION*

Page 4

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has not been met.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.