



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)

Date: **MAY 11 2015**

FILE #: [REDACTED]
PETITION RECEIPT #: [REDACTED]

IN RE:

Petitioner: [REDACTED]

PETITION:

Petition for U Nonimmigrant Classification as a Victim of a Qualifying Crime Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U)


ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed is the non-precedent decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for your case.

If you believe we incorrectly decided your case, you may file a motion requesting us to reconsider our decision and/or reopen the proceeding. The requirements for motions are located at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Motions must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) **within 33 days of the date of this decision**. The Form I-290B web page (www.uscis.gov/i-290b) contains the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. **Please do not mail any motions directly to the AAO.**

Thank you,



Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center (the director), denied the petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(U), as an alien victim of certain qualifying criminal activity.

The director denied the instant Petition for U Nonimmigrant Status (Form I-918 U petition), because the petitioner was inadmissible to the United States and his Form I-192, Application for Advance Permission to Enter as a Nonimmigrant (Form I-192), had been denied. The petitioner timely appealed the denial of the Form I-918 U petition. On appeal, the petitioner does not contest his inadmissibility on the stated grounds, and instead, submits a brief and additional evidence to demonstrate that the director should favorably exercise discretion and approve the waiver.

Applicable Law

Section 101(a)(15)(U) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, for U nonimmigrant classification to:

(i) subject to section 214(p), an alien who files a petition for status under this subparagraph, if the Secretary of Homeland Security determines that --

- (I) the alien has suffered substantial physical or mental abuse as a result of having been a victim of criminal activity described in clause (iii);
- (II) the alien . . . possesses information concerning criminal activity described in clause (iii);
- (III) the alien . . . has been helpful, is being helpful, or is likely to be helpful to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement official, to a Federal, State, or local prosecutor, to a Federal or State judge, to the Service, or to other Federal, State, or local authorities investigating or prosecuting criminal activity described in clause (iii); and
- (IV) the criminal activity described in clause (iii) violated the laws of the United States or occurred in the United States (including in Indian country and military installations) or the territories and possessions of the United States;

* * *

(iii) the criminal activity referred to in this clause is that involving one or more of the following or any similar activity in violation of Federal, State, or local criminal law: rape; torture; trafficking; incest; domestic violence; sexual assault; abusive sexual contact; prostitution; sexual exploitation; stalking; female genital mutilation; being held hostage; peonage; involuntary servitude; slave trade; kidnapping; abduction; unlawful criminal restraint; false imprisonment; blackmail; extortion; manslaughter; murder; felonious assault; witness

tampering; obstruction of justice; perjury; fraud in foreign labor contracting (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1351); or attempt, conspiracy, or solicitation to commit any of the above mentioned crimes[.]¹

According to the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(a)(9), the term “any similar activity” as used in section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii) of the Act “refers to criminal offenses in which the nature and elements of the offenses are *substantially similar* to the statutorily enumerated list of criminal activities.” (Emphasis added).

In addition, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.14(c)(4) prescribes the evidentiary standards and burden of proof in these proceedings:

The burden shall be on the petitioner to demonstrate eligibility for U-1 nonimmigrant status. The petitioner may submit any credible evidence relating to his or her Form I-918 for consideration by [U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)]. USCIS shall conduct a de novo review of all evidence submitted in connection with Form I-918 and may investigate any aspect of the petition. Evidence previously submitted for this or other immigration benefit or relief may be used by USCIS in evaluating the eligibility of a petitioner for U-1 nonimmigrant status. However, USCIS will not be bound by its previous factual determinations. USCIS will determine, in its sole discretion, the evidentiary value of previously or concurrently submitted evidence, including Form I-918, Supplement B, “U Nonimmigrant Status Certification.”

Furthermore, section 212(d)(14) of the Act requires USCIS to determine whether any grounds of inadmissibility exist when adjudicating a Form I-918 U petition and provides USCIS with the authority to waive certain grounds of inadmissibility as a matter of discretion. The petitioner bears the burden of establishing that he or she is admissible to the United States or that any grounds of inadmissibility have been waived. See 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(a)(3)(i).

For aliens seeking U nonimmigrant status who are inadmissible to the United States, the regulations at 8 C.F.R. §§ 212.17, 214.14(c)(2)(iv) require the filing of a Form I-192 waiver in conjunction with a Form I-918 U petition in order to waive any ground of inadmissibility. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 212.17(b)(3) states in pertinent part: “There is no appeal of a decision to deny a waiver.” As we do not have jurisdiction to review whether the director properly denied the Form I-192, we do not consider whether approval of the Form I-192 should have been granted. The only issue that may come before us is whether the director was correct in finding the petitioner inadmissible to the United States and, therefore, requiring an approved Form I-192 pursuant to 8 C.F.R. §§ 212.17, 214.14(c)(2)(iv).

Facts and Procedural History

¹ The crimes of stalking and fraud in labor contracting as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1351 were not listed as qualifying criminal activities when the petitioner filed the instant Form I-918 U petition. The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, Public Law No. 113-4 (VAWA 2013), which came into effect on March 7, 2013, amended section 101(a)(15)(U)(iii) of the Act to include these two crimes as qualifying criminal activities.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Ecuador who claims to have first entered the United States in 1992 without inspection, admission, or parole. After having been convicted of assault, the petitioner was placed in removal proceedings. The petitioner was removed on November 30, 2006. The petitioner states that he re-entered the United States in 2007 without inspection, admission, or parole.

The petitioner filed this Form I-918 U petition on May 13, 2013 with a Form I-192 waiver application indicating that he had been the victim of a robbery. On March 27, 2014, the director issued a Request for Evidence (RFE), notifying the petitioner that he appeared inadmissible to the United States and requesting evidence to establish that he warranted a favorable exercise of discretion for his waiver application. The petitioner responded with additional evidence.

The director denied the petitioner's Form I-192, finding that the petitioner was inadmissible under sections 212(a)(6)(A)(i) (entry without inspection), 212(a)(9)(A)(ii) (previously removed other than an arriving alien), and 212(a)(9)(C)(i) (entry without inspection after removal) of the Act, and that the petitioner had not demonstrated that his application for a waiver of inadmissibility warranted a favorable exercise of discretion. As the petitioner was found inadmissible and his Form I-192 was denied, the director consequently denied the petitioner's Form I-918 U petition. The petitioner filed a timely appeal of the denial of his petition.

Analysis

We conduct appellate review on a *de novo* basis. On appeal, the petitioner does not dispute that he is inadmissible to the United States on the stated grounds, but asserts that the director's decision denying his Form I-192 waiver application did not consider all favorable factors and he merits a favorable exercise of discretion such that his waiver application and Form I-918 U petition should be granted. However, the director denied the petitioner's application for a waiver of inadmissibility, and we have no jurisdiction to review the denial of a Form I-192 submitted in connection with a Form I-918 U petition. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 212.17(b)(3). As a result, the appeal is dismissed.

Conclusion

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). The petitioner has not established that he is admissible to the United States or that his grounds of inadmissibility have been waived. He is consequently ineligible for nonimmigrant classification under section 101(a)(15)(U)(i) of the Act, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.1(a)(3)(i).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition remains denied.