

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

DATE: **MAR 12 2014** OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) Only Nonimmigrant Transitional Worker Classification Pursuant to 48 U.S.C. § 1806(d)


ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case. This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions.

Thank you,


Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The director's decision will be withdrawn. The matter will be remanded for further consideration and entry of a new decision.

The petitioner submitted a Petition for a CNMI-Only Nonimmigrant Transitional Worker (Form I-129CW) to the California Service Center on August 24, 2012. In the Form I-129CW visa petition, the petitioner describes itself as an enterprise engaged in restaurant, retail general merchandise and commercial space rental that was established in 1980. In order to employ the beneficiary in what it designates as a restaurant supervisor position, the petitioner seeks to classify her as a CNMI-Only Nonimmigrant Transitional Worker (CW-1) pursuant to 48 U.S.C. § 1806(d).

The director denied the petition on January 22, 2013, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary was lawfully present in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) at the time the petition was filed.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) the petitioner's Form I-129CW and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence (RFE); (3) the petitioner's response to the RFE; (4) the notice of decision; and (5) the Form I-290B and supporting materials. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(19) states that the director will notify a petitioner in writing of a decision made on a benefit request. Further, 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(i) states that when denying a petition, the director shall explain in writing the specific reasons for denial.

Here, the beneficiary filed a Form I-539, Application to Extend/Change Nonimmigrant Status, on December 2, 2011. The application was pending at the time the instant CW-1 petition was filed. Upon review, the AAO finds that the director's decision does not provide a sufficient explanation for the conclusion that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary was lawfully present in the CNMI at the time the petition was filed.

The regulatory provision at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(w)(1)(v) defines "lawfully present in the CNMI" as follows:

- (A) At the time the application for CW status is filed, is an alien lawfully present in the CNMI under 48 U.S.C. § 1806(e); or
- (B) Was lawfully admitted or paroled into the CNMI under the immigration laws on or after the transition program effective date, other than an alien admitted or paroled as a visitor for a business or pleasure (B-1 or B-2, under any visa-free travel provision or parole of certain visitors from Russia and the People's Republic of China), and remains in a lawful immigration status.

The AAO notes that the "transition period" is described at 48 U.S.C. § 1806(a):

(2) Transition period

There shall be a transition period beginning on the transition program effective date and ending on December 31, 2014, except as provided in subsections (b) and (d), during which the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, the Secretary of Labor, and the Secretary of the Interior, shall establish, administer, and enforce a transition program to regulate immigration to the Commonwealth, as provided in this section (hereafter referred to as the "transition program").

The AAO now turns to 48 U.S.C. § 1806(e), which states the following:

(e) Persons lawfully admitted under the Commonwealth immigration law

(1) Prohibition on removal

(A) In general

Subject to subparagraph (B), no alien who is lawfully present in the Commonwealth pursuant to the immigration laws of the Commonwealth on the transition program effective date shall be removed from the United States on the grounds that such alien's presence in the Commonwealth is in violation of section 212(a)(6)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(6)(A)), until the earlier of the date –

(i) of the completion of the period of the alien's admission under the immigration laws of the Commonwealth; or

(ii) that is 2 years after the transition program effective date.

(B) Limitations

Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to prevent or limit the removal under subparagraph 212(a)(6)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182 (a)(6)(A)) of such an alien at any time, if the alien entered the Commonwealth after the date of enactment of the Consolidated Natural Resources Act of 2008, and the Secretary of Homeland Security has determined that the Government of the Commonwealth has violated section 702(i) of the Consolidated Natural Resources Act of 2008.

(2) Employment authorization

An alien who is lawfully present and authorized to be employed in the Commonwealth pursuant to the immigration laws of the Commonwealth on the transition program effective date shall be considered authorized by the

Secretary of Homeland Security to be employed in the Commonwealth until the earlier of the date --

- (A) of expiration of the alien's employment authorization under the immigration laws of the Commonwealth; or
- (B) that is 2 years after the transition program effective date.

(3) Registration

The Secretary of Homeland Security may require any alien present in the Commonwealth on or after the transition period effective date to register with the Secretary in such a manner, and according to such schedule, as he may in his discretion require. Paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection shall not apply to any alien who fails to comply with such registration requirement. Notwithstanding any other law, the Government of the Commonwealth shall provide to the Secretary all Commonwealth immigration records or other information that the Secretary deems necessary to assist the implementation of this paragraph or other provisions of the Consolidated Natural Resources Act of 2008. Nothing in this paragraph shall modify or limit section 262 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1302) or other provision of the Immigration and Nationality Act [8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.] relating to the registration of aliens.

(4) Removable aliens

Except as specifically provided in paragraph (1)(A) of this subsection, nothing in this subsection shall prohibit or limit the removal of any alien who is removable under the Immigration and Nationality Act.

(5) Prior orders of removal

The Secretary of Homeland Security may execute any administratively final order of exclusion, deportation or removal issued under authority of the immigration laws of the United States before, on, or after the transition period effective date, or under authority of the immigration laws of the Commonwealth before the transition period effective date, upon any subject of such order found in the Commonwealth on or after the transition period effective date, regardless whether the alien has previously been removed from the United States or the Commonwealth pursuant to such order.

Under 48 U.S.C. § 1806(e)(1), the emphasis of the relevant time period is the earlier of the date of the expiration of the alien's admission under the immigration laws of the Commonwealth or two years after the transition program effective date.

The director has not sufficiently explained the statement that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary was lawfully present in the CNMI at the time the petition was filed. Thus, to

properly analyze the issue of whether the beneficiary was lawfully present in the CNMI at the time the petition was filed (as well as any other issues that are material to the case), the petition will be remanded to the director for review and to contemplate the issuance of a new decision.

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013).

ORDER: The director's decision is withdrawn. The matter is remanded to the director for further action consistent with the above and entry of a new decision.