



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)

DATE: **AUG 18 2014** OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was revoked by the service center director and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as the matter is now moot.

The petitioner submitted a Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129) to the California Service Center. In the Form I-129 visa petition, the petitioner describes itself as a business engaged in computer and software consultancy that was established in 1994. In order to employ the beneficiary in what it designates as a senior systems analyst position, the petitioner sought to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The petition was initially approved; however, the director subsequently issued a Notice of Intent to Revoke (NOIR) the approval of the petition. After reviewing the petitioner's response to the NOIR, the director determined that the petitioner had not overcome the grounds for revocation and revoked the approval of the petition. On appeal, counsel asserts that the director's bases for revocation of the approval of the petition were erroneous.

A review of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) records indicates that the petitioner filed a new Form I-129 petition on behalf of the beneficiary. USCIS records further indicate that this petition was approved and the beneficiary was granted H-1B classification from June 6, 2013 to April 23, 2015. Because the beneficiary in the instant petition has been approved for H-1B employment with the petitioner, further pursuit of the matter at hand is moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.