

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

DATE: DEC 17 2014

OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg

Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director initially approved the nonimmigrant visa petition. Upon subsequent review of the record, the director issued a notice of intent to revoke (NOIR) the approval of the petition, and ultimately did revoke the approval of the petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as the matter is now moot.

The petitioner submitted a Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129) to the California Service Center. In the Form I-129 petition, the petitioner describes itself as computer and software consultancy firm that was established in [REDACTED]. In order to employ the beneficiary in what it designated as a systems analyst position, the petitioner sought to classify her as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The petition was initially granted. Thereafter, the director reviewed the record and issued a NOIR. The NOIR contained a detailed statement regarding the new information that U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) had obtained and notified the petitioner that it was afforded an opportunity to submit evidence in support of the petition and in opposition to the grounds alleged for revocation of the approval of the petition. The petitioner submitted a response. The director reviewed the response and, on [REDACTED] 2014, the director revoked the approval of the petition. Thereafter, counsel for the petitioner submitted an appeal of the decision.

A review of USCIS records indicates that on [REDACTED] 2014, a date subsequent to the denial of the instant petition, the petitioner submitted a new Form I-129 petition on behalf of the beneficiary. USCIS records further indicate that this new petition was approved on [REDACTED] 2014.<sup>1</sup> Because the beneficiary in the instant petition has been approved for H-1B employment with the petitioner based upon the filing of another petition, further pursuit of the matter at hand is moot.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.

---

<sup>1</sup> The petitioner indicated that the basis of classification was "[c]ontinuation of previously approved employment without change with the same employer" and requested consular notification. We observe that the H-1B extension petition was based on an amended petition that was approved on [REDACTED] 2014.