

(b)(6)



U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

DATE: FEB 25 2014

OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director initially approved the nonimmigrant visa petition. Upon subsequent review of the record, the director issued a notice of intent to revoke (NOIR) the approval of the petition, and ultimately did revoke the approval of the petition. The matter is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner submitted a Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129) to the California Service Center. In the Form I-129 visa petition, the petitioner describes itself as a technology solutions company established in 1997. In order to employ the beneficiary in what it designated as a quality assurance engineer position, the petitioner sought to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The petition was initially granted. Thereafter, the United States Consulate in Chennai returned the petition to the director for review. The director reviewed the case report and issued a NOIR. The NOIR contained a detailed statement regarding the new information that U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) had obtained and notified the petitioner that it was afforded an opportunity to submit evidence in support of the petition and in opposition to the grounds alleged for revocation of the approval of the petition. The petitioner responded to the NOIR. The director reviewed the evidence submitted and determined that the petitioner did not overcome the grounds for revocation. The director revoked the approval of the petition. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B).

On December 27, 2013, the AAO sent a Request for Evidence (RFE) to the petitioner. The petitioner was afforded 33 days to respond to the request. The petitioner did not respond within the 33 day period allowed in the request, or any time since then.

If a petitioner fails to respond to a request for evidence by the required date, the petition may be summarily denied as abandoned, denied based on the record, or denied for both reasons. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13)(i). As further provided in 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(14), the failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry shall be grounds for denying the petition.

As the petitioner has not responded to the AAO's RFE, the petition is deniable under the regulatory provisions cited above. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed, and the petition will be summarily denied as abandoned and denied due to the failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry, making any remaining issues in this proceeding moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is summarily denied as abandoned and denied due to the failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry.