

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



D2

FILE: WAC 04 041 52647 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **AUG 11 2005**

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director of the California Service Center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner operates a recruitment and placement agency. It seeks to hire the beneficiary as a marketing analyst. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition based on his determination that the petitioner had failed to establish that its proffered position was a specialty occupation.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for evidence; (3) the petitioner's response to the director's request for evidence; (3) the director's denial letter; and (4) Form I-290B, with the petitioner's brief and previously submitted documentation. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before reaching its decision.

The issue before the AAO is whether the petitioner's proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. To meet its burden of proof in this regard, a petitioner must establish that the job it is offering to the beneficiary meets the following statutory and regulatory requirements.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1) defines the term "specialty occupation" as one that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

An occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular

position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;

- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the above criteria to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

To determine whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS does not simply rely on a position’s title. The specific duties of the proffered position, combined with the nature of the petitioning entity’s business operations, are factors to be considered. CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F. 3d 384 (5th Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position nor an employer’s self-imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation, as required by the Act.

The petitioner states that it is seeking the beneficiary’s services as a marketing analyst. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes: the Form I-129; a November 21, 2003 letter of support from the petitioner; and the petitioner’s April 14, 2004 response to the director’s request for evidence. According to this evidence, the beneficiary would perform duties that entail generally analyzing and proposing ways to improve organizations structure, efficiency, or profits; helping reduce costs, streamlining operations, developing marketing strategies; acting as office administrator; collecting, reviewing, and analyzing data; coordinating and monitoring multiple and diverse activities and work processes; making recommendations and implementing their ideas. The petitioner indicated that it requires a bachelor’s degree in management or business administration for the position.

The director requested additional evidence, specifically, a breakdown of the percentage of time to be spent on each duty. Additionally, the director requested quarterly wage reports and a copy of the petitioner’s organizational chart. In response, the petitioner reiterated the position description. The petitioner provided Form 941 quarterly wage reports for the quarters ending September and December 2003 with total wages of \$9,000 paid for each quarter. The petitioner submitted Form 941 for the quarter ending June 2003 which indicated total wages of \$1,500 paid for that quarter.

In his denial, the director referred to the Department of Labor’s *Occupational Outlook Handbook* (the *Handbook*) and found that the overwhelming number of duties, as described by the petitioner, were most similar to the duties of an advertising/marketing manager under the heading Advertising, Marketing, Promotions, Public Relations, and Sales Managers – occupations which do not normally require a baccalaureate level of study. The director found that the position did not meet any of the preceding criteria for classification as a specialty occupation. Additionally, the director noted that the petitioner indicated seven employees on the Form I-129 yet the submitted Forms 941 did not substantiate this claim. The director

determined that the petitioner misrepresented its financial and employment records. Furthermore, the director did not find a reasonable and credible offer of employment.

On appeal, the petitioner explained that “tasks to be performed by the beneficiary have been formulated in bold strokes but we did formulate in this manner to allow room for a creative reformulation of such tasks in keeping with our company’s continuing need to adapt to the pace of our growth.” The petitioner added more duties to the proffered position.

Based on the record of proceeding, the AAO has determined that the proffered position is most similar to that of a marketing manager in the *Handbook*. According to the *Handbook*, marketing managers develop the firm’s detailed marketing strategy. With the help of subordinates, marketing managers determine the demand for products and services offered by the firm and its competitors. In addition, they identify potential markets. According to the *Handbook*, marketing managers develop pricing strategy with an eye towards maximizing the firm’s share of the market and its profits while ensuring that the firm’s customers are satisfied. This is similar to the proffered position in that the duties include, among others, draw up a blueprint of the organization’s marketing plan.

With respect to the educational qualifications required of marketing managers, the *Handbook* states:

A wide range of educational backgrounds is suitable for entry into advertising, marketing, promotions, public relations, and sales managerial jobs, but many employers prefer those with experience in related occupations plus a broad liberal arts background. For marketing, sales and promotions management positions, some employers prefer a bachelor’s or master’s degree in business administration with an emphasis on marketing.

Consequently, there is insufficient evidence in the record to establish that a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent in a specific specialty is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the marketing manager position.

There is no evidence in the record to establish the second criterion - that a specific degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations.

Nor is there evidence in the record to establish the third criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A): that the petitioner normally requires a specific degree or its equivalent for the position.

The fourth criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) requires that the petitioner establish that the nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. To the extent they are described in the record, the duties of the position are not so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. The duties parallel those in the *Handbook* for a marketing manager, an occupation that does not require a specific baccalaureate degree. The petitioner therefore fails to establish the fourth criterion.

Additionally, as discussed by the director, the petitioner indicated on the Form I-129 that it employed seven persons yet the subsequently submitted Form 941 quarterly wage reports did not support that assertion. It is incumbent upon the petitioner to resolve any inconsistencies in the record by independent objective evidence. Any attempt to explain or reconcile such inconsistencies will not suffice unless the petitioner submits

competent objective evidence pointing to where the truth lies. *Matter of Ho*, 19 I&N Dec. 582, 591-92 (BIA 1988). Doubt cast on any aspect of the petitioner's proof may, of course, lead to a reevaluation of the reliability and sufficiency of the remaining evidence offered in support of the visa petition. *Matter of Ho*.

Therefore, for the reasons related in the preceding discussion, the petitioner has failed to establish that the proffered position is a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.