



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy**

**PUBLIC COPY**




*Dr*

**OCT 03 2005**  
**OCT 03 2005**


FILE: LIN 03 237 50863 Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

*Robert P. Wiemann*

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a 200-room hotel that seeks to employ the beneficiary as an accountant. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition, finding that the proposed position does not qualify for classification as a specialty occupation, and that the beneficiary does not qualify to perform the duties of a specialty occupation.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term “specialty occupation” as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor’s or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term “specialty occupation” is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

An occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proposed position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for evidence (RFE); (3) the petitioner’s RFE response and supporting documentation; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B and appellate brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner, a 200-room hotel with 15 employees, was established in 2003. It proposes to hire the beneficiary as an accountant. In the petitioner’s letter of support, the duties of the proposed position were set forth as follows:

- ?? Analyze financial information and prepare financial reports. Compile financial information detailing assets, liability, capital, prepare trading account, Balance Sheet, Income and Cash flow statements;
- ?? Make entries in books of accounts like General Ledger, Sales and Purchase books, Payable and Receivable register to document business transactions;
- ?? Audit contracts, orders, and vouchers with compliance to internal controls, prepare reports to substantiate individual transactions prior to settlement;
- ?? Set up classification of accounts, organize accounting procedures, and make recommendations to management when necessary;
- ?? Analyze past and present trends and estimate future revenues and expenditures. Document revenues, analyze variance, introduce standard costing system and prepare reports for management use[,] and give recommendations;
- ?? Devise standard forms and prepare manuals required to guide activities of bookkeeping, direct others to adapt conventional accounting and record keeping functions to machine accounting processes;
- ?? Review the system of management control, assess compliance and develop parameters for information systems to monitor issues that are unique and critical to the business;
- ?? Prepare [p]ayroll, [d]educt employee holdings, and comply with all legal and compliance issues;
- ?? Prepare and file Federal, State[,] or Local Tax Returns of the business.

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner had satisfied none of the four criteria set forth at § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), and therefore had not established that the proposed position qualifies for classification as a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel contends that the director erred in denying the petition, and that the proposed position is in fact a specialty occupation. The AAO agrees with counsel that, as a general matter, accountant positions normally qualify for classification as specialty occupations. However, the AAO disagrees with counsel’s assertion that the proposed position is actually that of an accountant.

In determining whether a proposed position qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS looks beyond the title of the position and determines, from a review of the duties of the position and any supporting evidence, whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate degree in a specific specialty, as the

minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act. The AAO routinely consults the *Occupational Outlook Handbook* (the *Handbook*) for its information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations.

According to the *Handbook*, there are four major fields of accounting – public, management, government, and internal auditors – of which management accountant appears closest to the proposed position. The *Handbook* sets forth the following description of the duties of a management accountant:

*Management accountants* – also called cost, managerial, industrial, corporate, or private accountants – record and analyze the financial information of the companies for which they work. Other responsibilities include budgeting, performance evaluation, cost management, and asset management. Usually, management accountants are part of executive teams involved in strategic planning or new-product development. They analyze and interpret the financial information that corporate executives need to make sound business decisions. They also prepare financial reports for nonmanagement groups, including stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities. Within accounting departments, they may work in various areas, including financial analysis, planning and budgeting, and cost accounting.

The *Handbook* also states that, increasingly, accountants “not only provide clients with accounting and tax help, but also help them develop personal budgets, manage assets and investments, plan for retirement, and recognize and reduce exposure to risks.”

By comparison, the *Handbook* describes the occupation of bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks as follows:

Bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks are an organization’s financial recordkeepers. They update and maintain one or more accounting records, including those which tabulate expenditures, receipts, accounts payable and receivable, and profit and loss. They have a wide range of skills and knowledge from full-charge bookkeepers who can maintain an entire company’s books to accounting clerks who handle specific accounts. All of these clerks make numerous computations each day and increasingly must be comfortable using computers to calculate and record data.

In small establishments, *bookkeeping clerks* handle all financial transactions and recordkeeping. They record all transactions, post debits and credits, produce financial statements, and prepare reports and summaries for supervisors and managers. Bookkeepers also prepare bank deposits by compiling data from cashiers, verifying and balancing receipts, and sending cash, checks, or other forms of payment to the bank. They also may handle payroll, make purchases, prepare invoices, and keep track of overdue accounts.

In large offices and accounting departments, *accounting clerks* have more specialized tasks . . . such as accounts payable . . . or accounts receivable . . . Entry-level accounting clerks post details of transactions, total accounts, and compute interest charges. They also may monitor loans and accounts, to ensure that payments are up to date.

More advanced accounting clerks may total, balance, and reconcile billing vouchers; ensure completeness and accuracy of data on accounts; and code documents, according to company

procedures. These workers post transactions in journals and on computer files and update the files when needed . . . .

*Auditing clerks* verify records of transactions posted by other workers. They check figures, postings, and documents to ensure that they are correct, mathematically accurate, and properly coded . . . .

As organizations continue to computerize their financial records, many bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks are using specialized accounting software on personal computers. With manual posting to general ledgers becoming obsolete, these clerks increasingly are posting charges to accounts on computer spreadsheets and databases . . . . The widespread use of computers . . . has enabled bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks to take on additional responsibilities, such as payroll, procurement, and billing.

According to the *Handbook*, a two-year associate's degree in business or accounting is often required for bookkeeping and accounting clerk positions. A four-year bachelor's degree is not required for entry-level positions. The *Handbook* also indicates that many graduates of junior colleges and business and correspondence schools can obtain junior accounting positions.

As discussed in the *Handbook*, bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks produce financial statements and prepare reports and summaries for supervisors and managers, which would be used by them to make sound business decisions. Further, the *Handbook* reports that employers require most financial clerks to have at least a high school diploma, and for bookkeepers and accounting clerks, they often require an associate's degree in business or accounting.<sup>1</sup>

The *Handbook* describes the occupation of a tax preparer as follows:

Prepare tax returns for individuals or small businesses but do not have the background or responsibilities of an accredited or certified public accountant.

The *Handbook* indicates that the normal educational requirement for a tax preparer is "moderate-term on-the-job training."

A petitioner's creation of a position with a perfunctory bachelor's degree requirement will not mask the fact that the position is not a specialty occupation. CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F.3d 384 (5th Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position or an employer's self-

---

<sup>1</sup> According to the website of Skyline College, a community college located in San Mateo, California (<http://www.skylinecollege.net>), an associate's degree in business or accounting would involve learning the fundamentals about financial accounting principles and concepts, balance sheets, income statements, cash flow statements, the generally accepted accounting procedures (GAAP), forecasting, budgeting, cost accounting, break even analysis, developing and operating a computerized accounting system using tools such as QuickBooks, QuickBooks Pro, or Peachtree, an integrated commercial accounting software package that is used to review, differentiate, and interpret accounting concepts and data in a multitude of business situations. Thus, an associate's degree would provide knowledge about accounting techniques that would serve the needs of management and facilitate decision-making.

imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act.<sup>2</sup> To interpret the regulations any other way would lead to absurd results: if CIS were limited to reviewing a petitioner's self-imposed employment requirements, then any alien with a bachelor's degree could be brought into the United States to perform a menial, non-professional, or an otherwise non-specialty occupation, so long as the employer required all such employees to have baccalaureate or higher degrees. *See id.* at 388.

The record in this case does not support the assertion that the duties of the proposed position require a bachelor's degree in accounting or a related specialty. While this position may include some duties that involve accounting functions, the AAO is not persuaded that they are at a level of specialization or complexity that they require the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge and a baccalaureate degree or its equivalent. The proposed position lacks crucial characteristics of a management accounting position, as described in the *Handbook*. For example, the *Handbook* indicates that management accountants are involved in strategic planning or new-product development, usually as part of an executive team, and prepare financial reports for nonmanagement groups, including stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities. Such functions are not reflected in the petitioner's description of the proposed position's duties. There is no evidence to indicate that the beneficiary will be part of an executive team. Nor will the beneficiary prepare financial reports for nonmanagement groups such as stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, or tax authorities. The scope of the proposed position lacks both the breadth and the depth of a management accounting position.

As noted previously, the petitioner is a hotel with 15 employees. Though the size of the company does not, in and of itself, determine a company's need for an accountant, its income level and scale of operations do have a direct and substantial bearing on the scope of the duties the beneficiary would perform as an accountant. The responsibilities associated with an annual income of \$1.25 million differ considerably from the responsibilities associated with an annual income in the tens, or even hundreds, of millions of dollars, as well as from the responsibilities of performing accounting work for multiple clients. The AAO notes further that no financial documentation of record corroborates the petitioner's statement on the Form I-129 that it earns an annual income of \$1.25 million. Simply going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Comm. 1998) (citing *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972)). The record does not support a finding that the petitioner will employ the beneficiary in an accounting position.

Rather, the AAO concludes that the proposed position will actually be that of an experienced bookkeeping, accounting, or auditing clerk. Many of the duties – including the compilation of financial information, preparation of balance sheets and income and cash flow statements, making entries into the general ledger, making entries into sales and purchase books, auditing orders and vouchers, documenting revenue, and preparing payroll – accord with the *Handbook's* description of bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks. As discussed in the *Handbook*, a baccalaureate or higher degree is not the normal minimum requirement for entry into bookkeeping, accounting, or auditing clerk positions, though employers often require a two-year associate's degree in business or accounting. Other duties – such as the preparation of tax returns – accord

---

<sup>2</sup> The court in *Defensor v. Meissner* observed that the four criteria at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) present certain ambiguities when compared to the statutory definition, and "might also be read as merely an additional requirement that a position must meet, in addition to the statutory and regulatory definition." *See id.* at 387.

with the *Handbook's* description of tax preparers. As discussed in the *Handbook*, the normal educational requirement for a tax preparer is "moderate-term on-the-job training," and not a degree.

Considering the nature of the petitioner's business, the scale of its operations, and the duties of the proposed position, the AAO concludes that the position is actually that of a bookkeeping, accounting, or auditing clerk. Since these positions do not require a baccalaureate degree in accounting or a related specialty, the proposed position does not meet the first criterion required for classification as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1).

Nor does the proposed position qualify as a specialty occupation under either prong of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2). The first prong of this regulation requires a showing that a specific degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. No evidence has been submitted to establish that a specific degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations. The second prong of this regulation requires that the petitioner prove that the duties of the proposed position are so complex or unique that only an individual with a degree can perform them. The nature of the duties of the proposed position as set forth in the petition does not support such a finding, as the duties of the proposed position are very similar to those of bookkeepers, auditing clerks, accounting clerks, or tax preparers, which do not require a four-year degree.

Therefore, the petitioner cannot establish the proposed position as a specialty occupation under either prong of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2).

The proposed position does not qualify as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3), which requires a showing that the petitioner normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position. To determine a petitioner's ability to meet this criterion, the AAO normally reviews the petitioner's past employment practices, as well as the histories, including names and dates of employment, of those employees with degrees who previously held the position, and copies of those employees' diplomas. However, no evidence has been submitted to demonstrate, nor has the petitioner contended, that the proposed position qualifies under this criterion. Accordingly, the proposed position does not qualify as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3).

The fourth criterion, 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4), requires the petitioner to establish that the nature of the proposed position's duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty. As previously discussed, the AAO is not persuaded by the evidence of record, including the nature and scale of the petitioner's business operations, that the duties of the position exceed the occupational scope of an experienced bookkeeper, auditing clerk, or accounting clerk, positions which do not require specialized knowledge at a baccalaureate level.

The proposed position does not qualify for classification as a specialty occupation under any of the four criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1), (2), (3), and (4), and the director was correct to deny the petition on this ground.

Nor does the beneficiary qualify to perform the duties of a specialty occupation. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), to qualify to perform services in a specialty occupation, an alien must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
- (2) Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
- (3) Hold an unrestricted state license, registration or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment; or
- (4) Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation, and have recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

In making its determination as to whether the beneficiary qualifies to perform the duties of a specialty occupation, the AAO turns to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), as described above. The beneficiary did not earn a degree from a United States institution of higher education, so he does not qualify under the first criterion.

Nor does the beneficiary qualify under the second criterion, which requires a demonstration that the beneficiary's foreign degree has been determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university. Counsel did submit an evaluation of education and experience from the International Credentials Evaluation and Translation Service (ICETS). While the ICETS evaluator did determine that the combination of the beneficiary's foreign education and experience are equivalent to a bachelor's degree in business administration in accounting, this evaluation does not satisfy 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C)(2). In order to qualify under this criterion, the evaluation must be based solely upon the beneficiary's foreign degree; a credentials evaluation service may evaluate educational credentials only. 8 C.F.R. § 14.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(3).

The record does not demonstrate, nor has the petitioner contended, that the beneficiary holds an unrestricted state license, registration or certification to practice the specialty occupation, so he does not qualify under the third criterion, either.

The fourth criterion, set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C)(4), requires a showing that the beneficiary's education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience is equivalent to the completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation, and that the beneficiary also has recognition of that expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

Thus, it is the fourth criterion under which the petitioner seeks to classify the beneficiary's combination of education and work experience. Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D), equating a beneficiary's credentials to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree is determined by one or more of the following:

- (1) An evaluation from an official who has authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in the specialty at an accredited college or university

which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience;

- (2) The results of recognized college-level equivalency examinations or special credit programs, such as the College Level Examination Program (CLEP), or Program on Noncollegiate Sponsored Instruction (PONSI);
- (3) An evaluation of education by a reliable credentials evaluation service which specializes in evaluating foreign educational credentials;
- (4) Evidence of certification or registration from a nationally-recognized professional association or society for the specialty that is known to grant certification or registration to persons in the occupational specialty who have achieved a certain level of competence in the specialty;
- (5) A determination by the Service that the equivalent of the degree required by the specialty occupation has been acquired through a combination of education, specialized training, and/or work experience in areas related to the specialty and that the alien has achieved recognition of expertise in the specialty occupation as a result of such training and experience.

The beneficiary's combination of education and previous experience do not satisfy 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(1). Although the ICETS evaluation does state that the combination of the beneficiary's education and experience is equivalent to a bachelor of business administration in accounting degree, there has been no showing that the ICETS evaluator has the authority to grant college-level credit for training and/or experience in this field at an accredited college or university which has a program for granting such credit based on an individual's training and/or work experience. As noted previously, a credentials evaluation service may evaluate educational credentials only. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(3). Thus, the ICETS evaluation of education and work experience cannot be accepted for the purpose of establishing the beneficiary's educational credentials.

No evidence has been submitted to establish, nor has counsel contended, that the beneficiary satisfies 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(2), which requires that the beneficiary submit the results of recognized college-level equivalency examinations or special credit programs, such as the College Level Examination Program (CLEP), or Program on Noncollegiate Sponsored Instruction (PONSI).

Nor does the beneficiary satisfy 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(3). As was the case under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C)(2), the beneficiary is unqualified under this criterion because the ICETS evaluation was based upon both education and experience. In order to qualify under this criterion, the ICETS evaluation would have to have been based upon foreign educational credentials alone.

No evidence has been submitted to establish, nor has counsel contended, that the beneficiary satisfies 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(4), which requires that the beneficiary submit evidence of certification or registration from a nationally-recognized professional association or society for the specialty that is known to grant certification or registration to persons in the occupational specialty who have achieved a certain level of competence in the specialty.

The AAO next turns to the fifth criterion. When CIS determines an alien's qualifications pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5), three years of specialized training and/or work experience must be demonstrated for each year of college-level training the alien lacks. It must be clearly demonstrated that the alien's training and/or work experience included the theoretical and practical application of specialized knowledge required by the specialty occupation; that the alien's experience was gained while working with peers, supervisors, or subordinates who have a degree or its equivalent in the specialty occupation; and that the alien has recognition of expertise in the specialty evidenced by at least one type of documentation such as:

- (i) Recognition of expertise in the specialty occupation by at least two recognized authorities in the same specialty occupation<sup>3</sup>;
- (ii) Membership in a recognized foreign or United States association or society in the specialty occupation;
- (iii) Published material by or about the alien in professional publications, trade journals, books, or major newspapers;
- (iv) Licensure or registration to practice the specialty occupation in a foreign country;  
or
- (v) Achievements which a recognized authority has determined to be significant contributions to the field of the specialty occupation.

Counsel's submission traces the beneficiary's work history from January 1991 onward, for a total of seven years and five months (the petition was filed in August 2003). The formula utilized by CIS is three years of specialized training and/or work experience for each year of college-level training that the alien lacks. A baccalaureate degree from a United States institution of higher education would require four years of study, and the ICETS evaluator determined that the beneficiary's foreign degree is equivalent to two years of academic study toward a bachelor's degree in business administration. The beneficiary must therefore demonstrate at least six years of qualifying work experience in order to qualify for its equivalency in accounting.

The beneficiary does appear to have seven years and five months of work experience. The AAO's next line of inquiry is therefore to determine whether this work experience included the theoretical and practical application of specialized knowledge required by the specialty occupation, whether it was gained while working with peers, supervisors, or subordinates who held a degree or its equivalent in accounting, and whether the beneficiary achieved recognition of expertise in the specialty evidenced by at least one of the five types of documentation delineated in sections (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), or (v) of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5).

---

<sup>3</sup> *Recognized authority* means a person or organization with expertise in a particular field, special skills or knowledge in that field, and the expertise to render the type of opinion requested. A recognized authority's opinion must state: (1) the writer's qualifications as an expert; (2) the writer's experience giving such opinions, citing specific instances where past opinions have been accepted as authoritative and by whom; (3) how the conclusions were reached; and (4) the basis for the conclusions supported by copies or citations of any research material used. 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii).

Counsel submits a letter from [REDACTED] the beneficiary's employer in Pakistan. This letter states that the beneficiary worked for this company as an accountant trainee from January 1991 through January 1992. From January 1992 until February 1995, he was an accountant, and from February 1995 through December 1995, he was a senior accountant. He was also a senior accountant from January 1998 through June 2000. However, the petitioner has not established that the beneficiary's work experience included the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge. Moreover, the petitioner has not provided evidence that this experience was gained while working with peers, supervisors, or subordinates with degrees in the specialty, or that the beneficiary has recognition of expertise.

As such, the beneficiary does not qualify under any of the criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(1)(2)(3)(4), or (5), and therefore by extension does not qualify under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C)(4).

Thus, the beneficiary does not qualify to perform the duties of a specialty occupation.

The petitioner has not established that the proposed position qualifies for classification as a specialty occupation, nor has the petitioner established that the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO will not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.