


identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



D2

FILE: SRC 04 207 50241 Office: TEXAS SERVICE CENTER Date: JUL 14 2006

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner offers cross cultural learning opportunities for international volunteers. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as an international coordinator, and endeavors to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. The director determined that the proffered position is not a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the petition was denied.

On appeal, counsel for the petitioner states that he will file a brief and/or additional information within 30 days in support of the appeal. The appeal was filed on December 8, 2004. To date, no brief or additional information has been filed. The record is, therefore, deemed complete. The petitioner has failed to state any basis for its appeal, except to indicate on its notice of appeal that the adjudicator did not correctly adjudicate the claim. The petitioner did not specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact upon which the appeal is based. The appellant must do more than simply ask for an appeal. It must clearly demonstrate the basis for the appeal. This, the appellant has failed to do. As such, the appeal must be dismissed.

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.