


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



02


SEP 07 2006

FILE: WAC 05 059 50324 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director of the California Service Center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a rug importer, wholesaler and retailer, with ten employees. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as an accountant pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b). The director denied the petition because he determined the position was not a specialty occupation.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains: (1) Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director's request for additional evidence; (3) counsel's response to the director's request; (4) the director's denial letter; and (5) Form I-290B, with counsel's brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The issue before the AAO is whether the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. To meet its burden of proof in this regard, the petitioner must establish that the job it is offering to the beneficiary meets the following statutory and regulatory requirements.

Section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term "specialty occupation" is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

An occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the above criteria to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proffered position.

To determine whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS does not simply rely on a position’s title. The specific duties of the proffered position, combined with the nature of the petitioning entity’s business operations, are factors to be considered. CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F.3d 384 (5th Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position nor an employer’s self-imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation, as required by the Act.

The petitioner seeks the beneficiary’s services as an accountant. Evidence of the beneficiary’s duties includes: the Form I-129 and the petitioner’s letter of support and counsel’s response to the director’s request for evidence. As described by the petitioner, the proffered position would require the beneficiary to:

- Compile and analyze financial information to prepare entries to accounts, such as general ledger accounts and checking business transactions;
- Document (data entry) into computer system all business transactions to analyze financial information detailing assets, liabilities, and capital;
- Prepare balance sheets, profit and loss statements, and other reports to summarize and project the company’s financial information detailing assets, liabilities, and capital;
- Prepare balance sheets, profit and loss statements, and other reports to summarize and project the company’s financial position; maintain budgeting system, which provides controls of expenditures;
- Review payroll information for timeliness, completeness and accuracy;
- Prepare monthly cash flow analysis, cash flow forecast reports, overhead forecast and income forecast reports for management.

The petitioner indicated that the position requires a minimum of a baccalaureate degree in the field.

The AAO notes that the petitioner noted that CIS approved another petition that had been previously filed on behalf of the beneficiary by a different petitioner. Each nonimmigrant petition is a separate proceeding with a separate record. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.8(d). In making a determination of statutory eligibility, CIS is limited to the information contained in the record of proceeding. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(16)(ii). Although the AAO may attempt to hypothesize as to whether the prior case was similar to the proffered position or was approved in error, no such determination may be made without review of the original record in its entirety. If the prior petition was approved based on evidence that was substantially similar to the evidence contained in this record of proceeding, however, the approval of the prior petition would have been erroneous. The AAO is not required to approve petitions where eligibility has not been demonstrated, merely because of prior approvals that may have been erroneous. *See, e.g., Matter of Church Scientology International*, 19 I&N Dec. 593, 597 (Comm. 1988). Neither CIS nor any other agency must treat acknowledged errors as binding precedent. *Sussex Engg. Ltd. v. Montgomery* 825 F.2d 1084, 1090 (6th Cir. 1987), *cert denied*, 485 U.S. 1008 (1988).

Furthermore, the AAO’s authority over the service centers is comparable to the relationship between a court of appeals and a district court. Even if a service center director had approved the nonimmigrant petitions on behalf of the beneficiary, the AAO would not be bound to follow the contradictory decision of a service

center. *Louisiana Philharmonic Orchestra v. INS*, 2000 WL 282785 (E.D. La.), *aff'd*, 248 F.3d 1139 (5th Cir. 2001), *cert. denied*, 122 S.Ct. 51 (2001).

To make its determination whether the employment just described qualifies as a specialty occupation, the AAO turns to the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1) and (2): a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is the normal minimum requirement for entry into the particular position; and a degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or a particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. Factors considered by the AAO when determining these criteria include: whether the Department of Labor's *Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook)*, on which the AAO routinely relies for the educational requirements of particular occupations, reports the industry requires a degree; whether the industry's professional association has made a degree a minimum entry requirement; and whether letters or affidavits from firms or individuals in the industry attest that such firms "routinely employ and recruit only degreed individuals." See *Shanti, Inc. v. Reno*, 36 F. Supp. 2d 1151, 1165 (D. Minn. 1999) (quoting *Hird/Blaker Corp. v. Sava*, 712 F. Supp. 1095, 1102 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)).

The petitioner has stated that the proffered position is that of an accountant. To determine whether the duties of the proffered position support the petitioner's characterization of its employment, the AAO turns to the 2006-2007 edition of the *Handbook* for its discussion of management accountants, the category of accounting most closely aligned to the duties described by the petitioner. As stated in the *Handbook*, management accountants:

[r]ecord and analyze the financial information of the companies for which they work. Among their other responsibilities are budgeting, performance evaluation, cost management, and asset management They analyze and interpret the financial information that corporate executives need in order to make sound business decisions. They also prepare financial reports for other groups, including stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities. Within accounting departments, management accountants may work in various areas, including financial analysis, planning and budgeting, and cost accounting.

The AAO finds the above discussion to be generally reflected in the petitioner's description of the duties of the proffered position and agrees that the petitioner's employment would require the beneficiary to have an understanding of accounting principles. However, not all accounting employment is performed by degreed accountants. Therefore, the performance of duties requiring accounting knowledge does not establish the proffered position as that of an accountant. The question is not whether the position requires knowledge of accounting principles, which it does, but rather whether it is one that normally requires the level of accounting knowledge that is signified by at least a bachelor's degree, or its equivalent, in accounting.

The *Handbook's* discussion of the occupation of accountants clearly indicates that accounting positions may be filled by individuals holding associate degrees or certificates, or who have acquired their accounting expertise through experience:

Capable accountants and auditors may advance rapidly; those having inadequate academic preparation may be assigned routine jobs and find promotion difficult. Many graduates of junior colleges or business or correspondence schools, as well as bookkeepers and accounting clerks who meet the education and experience requirements set by their employers, can obtain junior accounting positions and advance to positions with more responsibilities by demonstrating their accounting skills on the job.

The *Handbook* also notes in its description of the work performed by bookkeeping, accounting and auditing clerks that:

Demand for full-charge bookkeepers is expected to increase, because they are called upon to do much of the work of accountants, as well as perform a wider variety of financial transactions, from payroll to billing. Those with several years of accounting or bookkeeper certification will have the best job prospects.

Further proof of the range of academic backgrounds that may prepare an individual for accounting employment is provided by the credentialing practices of the Accreditation Council for Accountancy and Taxation (ACAT), an independent accrediting and monitoring organization affiliated with the National Society of Accountants. The ACAT does not require a degree in accounting or a related specialty to issue a credential as an Accredited Business Accountant® /Accredited Business Advisor® (ABA). Eligibility for the eight-hour comprehensive examination for the ABA credential requires only three years of “verifiable experience in accounting, taxation, financial services, or other fields requiring a practical and theoretical knowledge of the subject matter covered on the ACAT Comprehensive Examination.” Up to two of the required years of work experience may be satisfied through college credit.¹

To determine whether the accounting knowledge required by the proffered position rises above that which may be acquired through experience or an associate’s degree in accounting,² the AAO turns to the record for information regarding the nature of the petitioner’s business operations. While the size of a petitioner’s business is normally not a factor in determining the nature of a proffered position, both level of income and organizational structure are appropriately reviewed when a petitioner seeks to employ an H-1B worker as an accountant. In cases where a petitioner’s business is relatively small, the AAO reviews the record for evidence that its operations, are, nevertheless, of sufficient complexity to indicate that it would employ the beneficiary in an accounting position requiring a level of financial knowledge that may be obtained only through a baccalaureate degree in accounting or its equivalent.

At the time of filing, the petitioner stated that it had ten employees and submitted an organization chart indicating the following positions: a president, general manager, marketing manager, sales manager, public relations, customer service manager, the proffered position of accountant, market research analyst, two sales assistants and two customer service representatives. Additionally, in its initial letter of support, the petitioner stated that the beneficiary would be under the guidance of the director of finance, a position that does not appear on the organizational chart. The petitioner submitted a tax return for the year 2003 indicating gross sales of over \$4 million, costs of goods sold of approximately \$1.7 million, compensation of officers of \$48,000, salaries paid of \$79,729 and commissions of \$1,160,315. The AAO has reviewed the record for

¹ Information provided by the ACAT website (<http://www.acatcredentials.org/index.html>). The *Handbook* identifies the ACAT website as one of several “Sources of Additional Information” at the end of its discussion of the occupation of accountants.

²According to the website for Skyline College, a community college located in San Mateo, CA (www.skylinecollege.net), an associate’s degree in business or accounting would involve learning the fundamentals about financial accounting principles and concepts, balance sheets, income statements, cash flow statements, the GAAP, forecasting, budgeting, cost accounting, break even analysis, developing and operating a computerized accounting system. Thus, an associate’s degree would provide knowledge about the GAAP and accounting techniques that serve the needs of management and facilitate decision-making.

evidence of the petitioner's financial structure and operations to determine whether the accounting employment described by the petitioner would impose a degree requirement on the beneficiary.

The record offers no documentation of the extent or complexity of the financial operations that would indicate that a degree is required to perform the duties of the position. Having submitted no evidence to describe its business, the petitioner has failed to demonstrate that its financial operations are of sufficient complexity to establish that it would employ the beneficiary in a position requiring a level of accounting knowledge that may only be obtained through a baccalaureate degree in accountancy. The petitioner is a wholesaler and retailer of rugs and carpets. While the inventory may be costly, the business operations have not been established as complex. On appeal, the petitioner asserts that the beneficiary will perform an analysis of standard and variable costs that are oriented to its industry. The petitioner does not elaborate on the complexity of this duty and does not establish that this analysis requires a degreed accountant. The petitioner does not describe the complexity of the duties in relation to its business. Accordingly, the duties of the proffered position have not been established as those of a degreed accountant. Instead, they appear more closely aligned to accounting responsibilities that may be performed by junior accountants, employment that does not impose a baccalaureate degree requirement on those seeking entry-level employment. Therefore, the petitioner has not established the proffered position as a specialty occupation under the first criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(A) – a baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position.

To establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation under the second criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(A), a petitioner must prove that a specific degree requirement is common to its industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree. In the instant case, the petitioner has submitted one advertisement for the position of accountant. One advertisement is insufficient to establish that a specific degree requirement is common to its industry among similar organizations. The petitioner submitted an advertisement for Sunkist growers, but has not established that the advertising company is similar to the petitioner. The petitioner also submitted a brochure and business card of a competitor which it claims requires a degreed accountant. The petitioner submitted no evidence that this competitor has a position similar to that of the petitioner. Going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Comm. 1998) (citing *Matter of Treasure Craft of California*, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg. Comm. 1972)).

The petitioner has not provided evidence to satisfy the second alternative prong of the second criterion that the proffered position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree.

Therefore, the record does not establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation based on an industry-wide degree requirement or its complex and unique nature.

To determine whether a proffered position may be established as a specialty occupation under the third criterion – the employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position – the AAO usually reviews the petitioner's past employment practices, as well as the histories, including names and dates of employment, of those employees with degrees who previously held the position, and copies of those employees' diplomas. The petitioner asserts that it had a staff accountant who possessed a bachelor's degree in business management. The petitioner provided no evidence of such employment such as payroll records and educational documents. Going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for

purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*. Accordingly, the petitioner has not established that it previously employed a degreed accountant in the proffered position.

The fourth criterion requires a petitioner to establish that the nature of the specific duties of its position is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform these duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree. On appeal, counsel asserts that because the beneficiary would analyze financial information and changes in accounting methods that the proffered position requires a degreed accountant. Counsel has not described the financial information to be analyzed or the financial documents to be examined and analyzed. The petitioner has not provided evidence of the type or volume of financial transactions. Going on record without supporting documentary evidence is not sufficient for purposes of meeting the burden of proof in these proceedings. *Matter of Soffici*. The other duties described do not indicate the complexity of the duties in relation to the petitioner's business, a rug importer, wholesaler, and retailer. The AAO finds no evidence to indicate that the beneficiary's duties would require the knowledge or skill acquired through a four-year degree in accounting. Further, the position, as described, does not appear to represent a combination of jobs that would require the beneficiary to have a unique set of skills requiring a degree. As a result, the record fails to establish that the proffered position meets the specialized and complex threshold of the fourth criterion at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

For reasons related in the preceding discussion, the petitioner has failed to establish the proffered position as a specialty occupation. Accordingly, the AAO shall not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.