

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

D2



FILE: 

OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER


Date: JUN 01 2010

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

  
Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner describes itself as “an international leader in Supply Management solutions.” To employ the beneficiary in a position to which the petitioner ascribes the title “Consultant,” the petitioner filed this H-1B petition to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on two independent grounds, namely, her findings that the evidence of record failed to establish: (1) that the petitioner is qualified to file an H-1B petition, that is, as either (a) a United States employer as that term is defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii), or (b) a U.S. agent, in accordance with the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(2)(i)(F); and (2) that the proffered position is a specialty occupation as that term is defined by section 214(i)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), and the implementing regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4).

On June 17, 2009, counsel for the petitioner submitted a Form I-290B (Notice of Appeal or Motion) without a brief or evidence. The only comments that counsel submits regarding the appeal are the following statements at Part 3 of the Form I-290B, which (1) broadly assert that the director’s decision is erroneous and based upon a misunderstanding of the facts, and (2) state the intention to file a brief and evidence to support the appeal:

We believe the decision was erroneous and misunderstood the facts of the case. This is a legitimate company - - not a staffing company. We will submit a brief separately with the supporting evidence to establish that fact.

Although counsel checked box B at section 2 of the Form I-290B, indicating that she would send a brief and/or evidence within 30 days, the AAO has received neither. Accordingly, the record of proceeding is deemed complete as currently constituted.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Counsel fails to specify how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. As neither the petitioner nor counsel presents additional evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.