

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U. S. Department of Homeland Security
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**



82

FILE:



Office:



Date: APR 01 2011

IN RE:

Petitioner:



Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,


Michael T. Kelly
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

On the Form I-129 visa petition the petitioner stated that it is a non-profit state university affiliated [REDACTED] To employ the beneficiary in a position designated as a [REDACTED] petitioner endeavors to classify her as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to submit a Labor Condition Application (LCA) that may be used to support the instant visa petition.

The issue before the AAO is whether the petitioner established filing eligibility at the time the Form I-129 was received by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS).

General requirements for filing immigration applications and petitions are set forth at 8 C.F.R. §103.2(a)(1) as follows:

[E]very application, petition, appeal, motion, request, or other document submitted on the form prescribed by this chapter shall be executed and filed in accordance with the instructions on the form, such instructions . . . being hereby incorporated into the particular section of the regulations requiring its submission

Further discussion of the filing requirements for applications and petitions is found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1), which states in pertinent part:

An applicant or petitioner must establish that he or she is eligible for the requested benefit at the time of filing the application or petition. All required application or petition forms must be properly completed and filed with any initial evidence required by applicable regulations and/or the form's instructions.

In cases where evidence related to filing eligibility is provided in response to a director's request for evidence, 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1) states:

An application or petition shall be denied where evidence submitted in response to a request for initial evidence does not establish filing eligibility at the time the application or petition was filed

The regulations require that before filing a Form I-129 petition on behalf of an H-1B worker, a petitioner obtain a certified LCA from the DOL in the occupational specialty in which the H-1B worker will be employed. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B). The instructions that accompany the Form I-129 also specify that an H-1B petitioner must document the filing of a labor certification application with the DOL when submitting the Form I-129.

In the instant case, the petitioner filed the Form I-129 with USCIS on July 7, 2009. The petitioner did not then submit a certified LCA as required by 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B). Because the evidence submitted did not establish that the visa petition was approvable, the service center, on July 10, 2009, issued an RFE in this matter. The service center requested, *inter alia*, an LCA to support the visa petition. In response, the petitioner submitted an LCA that was certified on July 15, 2009, after the visa petition was submitted. The director denied the visa petition, finding, as was noted above, that the petitioner had failed to submit an LCA that may validly be used to support the instant visa petition.

On appeal, the petitioner asserted that it submitted an LCA prior to filing the visa petition, but that, unbeknownst to the petitioner, that LCA was incorrectly completed and therefore denied. The petitioner observed that under a previous system a certified LCA was immediately available on-line, whereas under the current system, which had then been very recently instituted, certification may take up to seven business days. The petitioner further asserted that upon finding that its first LCA had been denied it submitted a second one, after submission of the visa petition, and that the second LCA was approved.

The Form I-129 filing requirements imposed by regulation require that the petitioner submit evidence of a certified LCA at the time of filing. In this matter, the LCA submitted was certified after the petitioner filed the Form I-129. A petitioner must establish eligibility at the time of filing the nonimmigrant visa petition. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1). The petitioner does not assert that it had received a certified LCA when it submitted the visa petition, only that it had submitted an LCA and assumed that it had been approved.

A visa petition may not be approved at a future date after the petitioner or beneficiary becomes eligible under a new set of facts. *Matter of Michelin Tire Corp.*, 17 I&N Dec. 248 (Reg. Comm. 1978). The petitioner failed to comply with the filing requirements at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B).

The record establishes that, at the time of filing, the petitioner had not obtained a certified LCA and, therefore, as indicated by the director, had failed to comply with the filing requirements at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B).¹ The appeal will be dismissed and the visa petition denied for this reason.

¹ While DOL is the agency that certifies LCA applications before they are submitted to USCIS, DOL regulations note that the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) (i.e., its immigration benefits branch, USCIS) is the department responsible for determining whether the content of an LCA filed for a particular Form I-129 actually supports that petition. *See* 20 C.F.R. § 655.705(b), which states, in pertinent part:

For H-1B visas . . . DHS accepts the employer's petition (DHS Form I-129) with the DOL certified LCA attached. *In doing so, the DHS determines whether the petition is supported by an LCA which corresponds with the petition, whether the occupation named in the [LCA] is a specialty occupation or whether the individual is a fashion model of distinguished merit and ability, and whether the qualifications of the nonimmigrant meet the statutory requirements of H-1B visa classification.*

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.

[Italics added]. As 20 C.F.R. § 655.705(b) requires that USCIS ensure that an LCA actually supports the H-1B petition filed on behalf of the beneficiary, this regulation inherently necessitates the filing of an amended H-1B petition to permit USCIS to perform its regulatory duty to ensure that the new LCA actually supports the H-1B petition filed on behalf of the beneficiary. In addition, as 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1) requires eligibility to be established at the time of filing, it is factually impossible for an LCA approved by DOL after the filing of an initial H-1B petition to establish eligibility at the time the initial petition was filed.