

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

D2



Date: **JAN 09 2012** Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: Petitioner:   
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director of the California Service Center denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner operates a [REDACTED] in Marion, Ohio. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a business analyst and to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition finding that the petitioner had failed to demonstrate that the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of the position. Additionally, the director denied the petition on the grounds that the petitioner failed to timely submit the required Labor Condition Application (LCA). On appeal, the petitioner, through counsel, states that the director erred in denying the petition. Counsel does not identify any erroneous conclusion of law or fact in the director's decision

The AAO notes that counsel indicated on the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, that a brief and/or additional evidence in support of the appeal would be submitted within 30 days. The appeal is not accompanied by any brief or additional evidence, nor has a brief or additional evidence been received since the appeal was filed in February 2010.

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part that:

(v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant's appeal does not identify any legal or factual errors in the director's decision or otherwise overcome any of the deficiencies noted therein. The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is summarily dismissed.