


identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy
PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services




D2

DATE: **JUL 05 2012** OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner submitted a Petition for Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129) to the California Service Center on April 23, 2010. In the Form I-129 visa petition, the petitioner describes itself as an enterprise engaged in "Construction Management, Architecture and Interior Design; Medical Development, Therapy." In order to employ the beneficiary in what it designates as a construction manager position, the petitioner seeks to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on June 16, 2010, finding that the petitioner (1) failed to establish that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation within the meaning of the controlling statutory and regulatory provisions; (2) failed to establish that a valid employer-employee relationship will exist between it and the beneficiary; and (3) failed to establish that it had submitted a Labor Condition Application that covered all of the worksite locations where the beneficiary will be employed.

On May 7, 2012, the AAO sent a Notice of Derogatory Information (NDI) to the petitioner and its counsel, requesting the petitioner submit evidence that its corporate status is currently in good standing in Michigan. The petitioner was afforded 30 days to respond to the RFE.

The petitioner did not respond within the 30-day period allowed in the NDI, or any time since then. If a petitioner fails to respond to a request for evidence by the required date, the petition may be summarily denied as abandoned, denied based on the record, or denied for both reasons. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13)(i). As further provided in 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(14), the failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry shall be grounds for denying the petition.

As the petitioner has not responded to the May 7, 2012 NDI, the petition is deniable under the regulatory provisions cited above. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed, and the petition will be summarily denied as abandoned and denied due to the failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry, making any remaining issues in this proceeding moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is summarily denied as abandoned and denied due to the failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry.