

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services



B2

Date: Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER


JUL 05 2012

FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner:   
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,



Perry Rhew

Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The service center director denied the instant nonimmigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as the matter is now moot.

In the Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129), the petitioner describes itself as a healthcare business with 10,023 employees. To employ the beneficiary in what it designates as a "CT TECHNOLOGIST 1" position, the petitioner endeavors to classify her as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition finding that the proffered position does not qualify as a specialty occupation. The petitioner filed an appeal with the AAO on May 24, 2010, and the appeal was subsequently dismissed on December 3, 2010. On January 5, 2011, the petitioner filed a combined motion to reopen and motion to reconsider the AAO's decision.

A review of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services records indicates that the beneficiary applied for adjustment of status on March 30, 2011, and that she became a conditional permanent resident on August 18, 2011.

Because the beneficiary's status has been adjusted to conditional permanent resident, further pursuit of the matter at hand is moot.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.