U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

(b)(6)

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

Date: APR 0 1 2013 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of $630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

For

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be summarily denied as abandoned.

On the Form I-129 visa petition, the petitioner stated that it is a childcare center. To employ the beneficiary in what it designates as a reading instructor/teacher position, the petitioner endeavors to classify her as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that it would employ the beneficiary in a specialty occupation position. On appeal, counsel asserted that the director's basis for denial was erroneous and contended that the petitioner satisfied all evidentiary requirements.

The AAO sent a request for evidence (RFE) to the petitioner on January 25, 2013, noting that Maryland state corporate records indicated that the petitioner's corporate status had been forfeited. Thus, the AAO requested the following additional evidence:

1. That the petitioner was a corporation in good standing when the petition was filed and that it remained a corporation in good standing during the interim;
2. That the petitioner is currently a corporation in good standing;
3. That the petitioner is duly registered to do business as a "[c]hildcare center" in Maryland; and
4. Evidence, including invoices, banking statements, and Federal income tax returns for 2010, 2011, and 2012, showing that the petitioner has done business since May 6, 2010, and continues to do business in the United States.

The petitioner and its counsel failed to respond to the AAO's RFE within the 33 day period afforded to the petitioner to respond to this request.

A petition may be summarily denied as abandoned, denied based on the record, or denied for both reasons if a petitioner or applicant fails to respond to a request for evidence or a notice of intent to deny by the required date. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(13)(i). In the RFE, the AAO specifically alerted the petitioner that failure to respond to the RFE would result in dismissal since the AAO could not substantively adjudicate the appeal without the information requested due to the numerous credibility issues with the evidence in the current record of proceeding. The failure to submit requested evidence that precludes a material line of inquiry shall be grounds for denying the petition. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(14).

Because the petitioner failed to respond to the RFE, the AAO is dismissing the appeal and summarily denying the petition as abandoned. The remaining issues in this proceeding are thereby moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.