





U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**



DATE: **MAY 20 2013** OFFICE: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:


SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the AAO inappropriately applied the law in reaching its decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a motion can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires any motion to be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,


Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The director initially approved the nonimmigrant visa petition. Upon subsequent review of the record, the director issued a notice of intent to revoke (NOIR) the approval of the petition, and ultimately did revoke the approval of the petition. The matter is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed as the matter is now moot.

The petitioner submitted a Petition for Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129) to the California Service Center on March 21, 2011. In the Form I-129 visa petition, the petitioner describes itself as a software development company established in 1998. In order to employ the beneficiary in what it designated as a computer programmer position, the petitioner sought to classify him as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The petition was initially granted. Thereafter, the United States Consulate in Hyderabad returned the petition to the director for review. The director reviewed the case report and issued a NOIR. The NOIR contained a detailed statement regarding the new information that U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) had obtained and notified the petitioner that it was afforded an opportunity to submit evidence in support of the petition and in opposition to the grounds alleged for revocation of the approval of the petition. The petitioner responded to the NOIR. Thereafter, the director reviewed the evidence submitted and determined that the petitioner did not overcome the grounds for revocation. The director revoked the approval of the petition.

A review of USCIS records indicate that on January 7, 2013, a date subsequent to the revocation of the approved petition, another employer filed a Form I-129 petition seeking nonimmigrant H-1B classification on behalf of the beneficiary. USCIS records further indicate that this other employer's petition was approved on February 27, 2013. Because the beneficiary in the instant petition has been approved for H-1B employment with another petitioner, further pursuit of the matter at hand is moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.