

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service:
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

DATE: DEC 19 2014 OFFICE: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center ("the director"), denied the nonimmigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be sustained. The petition will be approved.

In the Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129), the petitioner states that it is a "Skilled Nursing Facility" with over 500 employees. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner failed to establish that the proffered position qualifies as a specialty occupation. On appeal, counsel for the petitioner asserted that the director's basis for denial was erroneous and contended that the petitioner satisfied the evidentiary requirements.

Based upon our review of the entire record of proceeding, including the submissions in response to our Request for Additional or Missing Evidence, we find that the petitioner has overcome the basis of the director's denial. As the totality of the evidence presented in this particular record of proceeding establishes the specialty occupation nature of the particular position for which this petition was filed, the appeal will be sustained and the petition will be approved.

In visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has been met. Accordingly, the appeal will be sustained.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained. The director's December 24, 2013 decision is withdrawn, and the petition is approved.