

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**



*D4*

FILE: EAC 07 254 52700 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER Date: **OCT 01 2008**

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]  
Beneficiaries: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected.

The petitioner is a full service car wash. It desires to employ the beneficiaries as car attendants pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b), and its implementing regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6) from October 1, 2007 to August 1, 2008. The Department of Labor determined that the petitioner had not established a temporary need for the beneficiaries' services. The director determined that the petitioner had failed to establish that its need for car attendants satisfied any of the H-2B temporary need categories defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(6).

As mandated by regulation, the AAO will reject the appeal because it was filed by a person not authorized to appear before Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) on behalf of any person or entity. The CIS regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(I) provides that an appeal filed with CIS by a person not entitled to file it "must be rejected as improperly filed."

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3) allows only three types of persons to file appeals with CIS as representatives of applicants or petitioners. These are: (1) attorneys in the United States, as defined at 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(f); (2) attorneys outside the United States, as defined at 8 C.F.R. § 292.1(a)(6); and (3) accredited representatives, as defined at 8 C.F.R. § 292.1(a)(4) of this chapter.

The Form I-290B (Notice of Appeal) was signed by [REDACTED]

A person appearing before CIS in a representative capacity must file a Form G-28 (Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Representative), signed by the petitioner, that identifies the provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 292.1 under which he or she is entitled to represent the petitioner before CIS. See 8 C.F.R. § 292.4(a).

The record of proceeding contains a Form G-28 signed by the petitioner. This form identifies the petitioner's representative as [REDACTED], who is the person who signed the Form I-290B and filed the appeal. The unchecked boxes on the Form G-28 indicate that Mr. [REDACTED] is neither an attorney nor an accredited representative of an organization recognized by the BIA. At section 4 of the Form G-28, Mr. [REDACTED] states:

Am the designated agent/representative for the petitioning company. We have been authorized by [the petitioner].

However, Mr. [REDACTED] does not identify any provision of 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3) under which he is entitled to represent the petitioner before CIS.

The record fails to establish that the person who filed the appeal was authorized to appear as a representative in accordance with the provisions of 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(3). Accordingly, the AAO will reject the appeal pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(A)(I), which states:

EAC 07 254 52700

Page 3

An appeal filed by a person or entity not entitled to file it must be rejected as improperly filed. In such a case, any filing fee the Service accepted will not be refunded.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.