

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

**PUBLIC COPY**

**U.S. Department of Homeland Security**  
U. S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
*Office of Administrative Appeals* MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

b4



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **DEC 14 2010**

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiaries: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Perry Rhew".

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be sustained, although the matter is moot due to the passage of time.

The petitioner is a resort that seeks to employ the beneficiaries as housekeepers pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(H)(ii)(b) for the period from April 1, 2010 until October 31, 2010.

The director denied the petition on April 29, 2010, concluding that the petitioner had not established a temporary need for the beneficiaries' services.

On appeal, the petitioner has overcome the concerns addressed in the director's decision. Sufficient evidence has been submitted to establish that the beneficiaries are eligible for H-2B classification.

It is noted that the petitioner requested the beneficiaries' services from April 1, 2010 until October 31, 2010. Therefore, the period of requested employment has passed.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, the petitioner has met that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is sustained.