

identifying documents used to
**prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



D5

FILE: WAC 04 032 53112 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: SEP 02 2005

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(iii) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The service center director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a home improvement company that seeks to employ the beneficiary as an accounting assistant. The petitioner endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant trainee pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(iii). The director denied the petition because the petitioner did not establish that training program had a fixed schedule, objectives or means of evaluation. The director also found that the petitioner did not establish that it had the staff or facilities to provide the training. The director stated that the petitioner did not establish that the training program does not consist of productive employment beyond that which is incidental and necessary to the training. Finally, the director found that the petitioner did not establish that the proposed training is unavailable in the beneficiary's home country.

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

On the Form I-290B and accompanying letter, counsel fails to specify how the director made any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in denying the petition. As neither counsel nor the petitioner presents any additional argument or evidence on appeal to overcome the decision of the director, the appeal will be summarily dismissed in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

The burden of proof in this proceeding rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.