




U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

Identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy


**PUBLIC COPY**



*DN*

FILE: WAC 03 240 50317 Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER Date: **SEP 03 2005**

IN RE: Petitioner:  
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the  
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The director denied the nonimmigrant visa petition and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will be denied.

The petitioner is a company engaged in providing recording, mastering, and compact disc duplication services that seeks to employ the beneficiary as an accountant. The petitioner, therefore, endeavors to classify the beneficiary as a nonimmigrant worker in a specialty occupation pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b).

The director denied the petition on the basis that the petitioner had failed to establish that the proposed position meets the definition of a specialty occupation as set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A).

Section 214(i)(1) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1184(i)(1), defines the term “specialty occupation” as an occupation that requires:

- (A) theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and
- (B) attainment of a bachelor’s or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The term “specialty occupation” is further defined at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(ii) as:

[A]n occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, physical sciences, social sciences, medicine and health, education, business specialties, accounting, law, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor’s degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- (1) A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- (2) The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- (3) The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- (4) The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) interprets the term “degree” in the criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) to mean not just any baccalaureate or higher degree, but one in a specific specialty that is directly related to the proposed position.

The record of proceeding before the AAO contains (1) the Form I-129 and supporting documentation; (2) the director’s request for evidence (RFE); (3) the petitioner’s RFE response and supporting documentation; (4) the director’s denial letter; and (5) the Form I-290B and appellate brief. The AAO reviewed the record in its entirety before issuing its decision.

The petitioner, a company that provides recording, mastering, and compact disc duplication services with one employee, was established in 1988 and has a gross annual income of \$150,000. It proposes to hire the beneficiary as an accountant. In the petitioner’s letter of support, the duties of the proposed position were set forth as follows:

- Applies generally accepted accounting principles to analyze financial information.
- Applies generally accepted accounting principles to prepare financial reports.
- Compiles and analyzes financial information detailing assets, liabilities, and capital.
- Prepares balance sheets, profit and loss statements and other report[s] summarizing current and projected financial position.
- Audit contracts, order[s,] and vouchers.
- Establishes, modifies, documents[,] and implements accounting and accounting control procedures.
- Prepares company’[s] tax filing in accordance with applicable requirements.
- Performs other accounting duties as may be necessary from time to time.

The director denied the petition, finding that the petitioner had satisfied none of the four criteria set forth at 8 § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), and therefore had not established that the proposed position qualifies for classification as a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel contends that the director erred in denying the petition, and that the proposed position in fact qualifies for classification as a specialty occupation under all four criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Counsel also asserts that the director’s decision was arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, a violation of the Constitution, contrary to CIS regulations and case law, contrary to the Act, contrary to the facts of record, and contrary to common sense and logic.

The AAO agrees with counsel that, as a general matter, accountant positions normally qualify for classification as specialty occupations. However, the AAO disagrees with counsel’s assertion that the proposed position is actually that of an accountant.

In determining whether a proposed position qualifies as a specialty occupation, CIS looks beyond the title of the position and determines, from a review of the duties of the position and any supporting evidence, whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate degree in a specific specialty, as the minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act. The AAO routinely consults the *Occupational Outlook Handbook* (the *Handbook*) for its information about the duties and educational requirements of particular occupations.

According to the *Handbook* there are four major fields of accounting – public, management, government, and internal auditors – of which management accountant appears closest to the proposed position. The *Handbook* sets forth the following description of the duties of a management accountant:

*Management accountants* – also called cost, managerial, industrial, corporate, or private accountants – record and analyze the financial information of the companies for which they work. Other responsibilities include budgeting, performance evaluation, cost management, and asset management. Usually, management accountants are part of executive teams involved in strategic planning or new-product development. They analyze and interpret the financial information that corporate executives need to make sound business decisions. They also prepare financial reports for nonmanagement groups, including stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities. Within accounting departments, they may work in various areas, including financial analysis, planning and budgeting, and cost accounting.

The *Handbook* also states that, increasingly, accountants “not only provide clients with accounting and tax help, but also help them develop personal budgets, manage assets and investments, plan for retirement, and recognize and reduce exposure to risks.”

By comparison, the *Handbook* describes the occupation of bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks as follows:

Bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks are an organization’s financial recordkeepers. They update and maintain one or more accounting records, including those which tabulate expenditures, receipts, accounts payable and receivable, and profit and loss. They have a wide range of skills and knowledge from full-charge bookkeepers who can maintain an entire company’s books to accounting clerks who handle specific accounts. All of these clerks make numerous computations each day and increasingly must be comfortable using computers to calculate and record data.

In small establishments, *bookkeeping clerks* handle all financial transactions and recordkeeping. They record all transactions, post debits and credits, produce financial statements, and prepare reports and summaries for supervisors and managers. Bookkeepers also prepare bank deposits by compiling data from cashiers, verifying and balancing receipts, and sending cash, checks, or other forms of payment to the bank. They also may handle payroll, make purchases, prepare invoices, and keep track of overdue accounts.

In large offices and accounting departments, *accounting clerks* have more specialized tasks . . . such as accounts payable . . . or accounts receivable . . . Entry-level accounting clerks post details of transactions, total accounts, and compute interest charges. They also may monitor loans and accounts, to ensure that payments are up to date.

More advanced accounting clerks may total, balance, and reconcile billing vouchers; ensure completeness and accuracy of data on accounts; and code documents, according to company procedures. These workers post transactions in journals and on computer files and update the files when needed . . .

*Auditing clerks* verify records of transactions posted by other workers. They check figures, postings, and documents to ensure that they are correct, mathematically accurate, and properly coded . . . .

As organizations continue to computerize their financial records, many bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks are using specialized accounting software on personal computers. With manual posting to general ledgers becoming obsolete, these clerks increasingly are posting charges to accounts on computer spreadsheets and databases . . . . The widespread use of computers . . . has enabled bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks to take on additional responsibilities, such as payroll, procurement, and billing.

According to the *Handbook*, a two-year associate's degree in business or accounting is often required for bookkeeping and accounting clerk positions. A four-year bachelor's degree is not required for entry-level positions. The *Handbook* also indicates that many graduates of junior colleges and business and correspondence schools can obtain junior accounting positions.

The *Handbook* describes the occupation of a tax preparer as follows:

Prepare tax returns for individuals or small businesses but do not have the background or responsibilities of an accredited or certified public accountant.

The *Handbook* indicates that the normal educational requirement for a tax preparer is "moderate-term on-the-job training."

A petitioner's creation of a position with a perfunctory bachelor's degree requirement will not mask the fact that the position is not a specialty occupation. CIS must examine the ultimate employment of the alien, and determine whether the position qualifies as a specialty occupation. *Cf. Defensor v. Meissner*, 201 F.3d 384 (5th Cir. 2000). The critical element is not the title of the position or an employer's self-imposed standards, but whether the position actually requires the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specific specialty as the minimum for entry into the occupation as required by the Act.<sup>1</sup> To interpret the regulations any other way would lead to absurd results: if CIS were limited to reviewing a petitioner's self-imposed employment requirements, then any alien with a bachelor's degree could be brought into the United States to perform a menial, non-professional, or an otherwise non-specialty occupation, so long as the employer required all such employees to have baccalaureate or higher degrees. *See id.* at 388.

The record in this case does not support the assertion that the duties of the proposed position require a bachelor's degree in accounting or a related specialty. While this position may include some duties that involve accounting functions, the AAO is not persuaded that they are at a level of specialization or complexity that they require the theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge and a baccalaureate degree or its equivalent. The proposed position lacks crucial characteristics of a management accounting position, as described in the *Handbook*. For example, the *Handbook* indicates that management accountants are involved in strategic planning or new-product development, usually as part

---

<sup>1</sup> The court in *Defensor v. Meissner* observed that the four criteria at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A) present certain ambiguities when compared to the statutory definition, and "might also be read as merely an additional requirement that a position must meet, in addition to the statutory and regulatory definition." *See id.* at 387.

of an executive team, and prepare financial reports for nonmanagement groups like stockholders, creditors, regulatory agencies, and tax authorities. Such functions are not reflected in the petitioner's description of the proposed position's duties. The scope of the proposed position lacks both the breadth and the depth of a management accounting position.

As noted previously, the petitioner is a company that provides recording, mastering, and compact disc duplication services with one employee and a gross annual income of \$150,000. Though the size of the company does not, in and of itself, determine a company's need for an accountant, its income level and scale of operations certainly have a direct and substantial bearing on the scope of the duties the beneficiary would perform as an accountant. The responsibilities associated with an annual income of \$150,000 differ considerably from the responsibilities associated with an annual income in the millions, or tens of millions, as well as from the responsibilities of performing accounting work for multiple clients. The record does not support a finding that the petitioner will employ the beneficiary in an accounting position.

Rather, the AAO concludes that the proposed position describes the duties of an experienced bookkeeping, accounting, or auditing clerk. Many of the duties – including compiling financial information and preparing reports, balance sheets, and profit and loss statements – accord with the *Handbook's* description of bookkeeping, accounting, and auditing clerks. As discussed in the *Handbook*, a baccalaureate or higher degree is not the normal minimum requirement for entry into bookkeeping, accounting, or auditing clerk positions, though employers often require a two-year associate's degree in business or accounting. Tax preparers prepare tax returns, and they are not required to possess degrees, either. Considering the nature of the petitioner's business, the scale of its operations, and the duties of the proposed position, the AAO concludes that the position is actually that of a bookkeeping, accounting, or auditing clerk. Since these positions do not require a baccalaureate degree in accounting or a related specialty, the proposed position does not meet the first criterion required for classification as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1).

Nor does the proposed position qualify as a specialty occupation under either prong of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2). The first prong of this regulation requires a showing that a specific degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations.

On appeal, counsel contends that the proposed position qualifies under this prong of the second criterion because the *Handbook* states that a bachelor's degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum entry requirement for a career as an accountant. However, as noted previously, the AAO does not accept the contention that the proposed position is that of an accountant.

Counsel's assertion that the director ignored evidence regarding this prong fails, as counsel has failed to consider the specific requirements at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2) for establishing a baccalaureate or higher degree as an industry norm. To meet the burden of proof imposed by the regulatory language, a petitioner must establish that its degree requirement exists in parallel positions among similar organizations. No evidence to support counsel's assertion, such as job postings or letters from industry experts, has been submitted.

The second prong of this regulation requires that the petitioner prove that the duties of the proposed position are so complex or unique that only an individual with a degree can perform them. The nature of the duties of the proposed position as set forth in the petition does not support such a finding, as the duties of the proposed position are similar to those of bookkeepers, auditing clerks, accounting clerks, or tax preparers, and do not require a four-year degree.

Therefore, counsel has not established that the proposed position qualifies for classification as a specialty occupation under either prong of 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(2).

The proposed position does not qualify as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3), which requires a showing that the petitioner normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position. To determine a petitioner's ability to meet this criterion, the AAO normally reviews the petitioner's past employment practices, as well as the histories, including names and dates of employment, of those employees with degrees who previously held the position, and copies of those employees' diplomas. However, no evidence has been submitted to demonstrate that the proposed position qualifies under this criterion.

Counsel's assertion that the proposed position qualifies under this criterion because the *Handbook* states that a bachelor's degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum entry requirement for a career as an accountant fails. To qualify under this criterion, evidence to support the assertion that the petitioner normally requires a degree or its equivalent must be presented. Accordingly, the proposed position does not qualify as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3).

Counsel asserts on appeal that "[n]owhere in this statute is there a requirement that a petitioner demonstrate that it has previously hired someone for the position. While counsel's assertion is correct, it is misplaced. While not labeled as such, this statement by the director clearly meant that the director found the proposed position unqualified for classification as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(3). In order to establish eligibility under this criterion, the petitioner must demonstrate that it normally hires individuals with a bachelor degrees or equivalent for the position. If the petitioner has never before filled the position, then it clearly cannot qualify the position as a specialty occupation under this criterion.

The fourth criterion, 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4), requires the petitioner to establish that the nature of the proposed position's duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform them is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty. As previously discussed, the AAO is not persuaded by the evidence of record, including the nature and scale of the petitioner's business operations, that the duties of the position exceed the occupational scope of an experienced bookkeeper, auditing clerk, or accounting clerk, positions which do not require specialized knowledge at a baccalaureate level. Thus, the proposed position does not qualify for classification as a specialty occupation under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(4).

The proposed position does not qualify for classification as a specialty occupation under any of the four criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. §§ 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A)(1), (2), (3), and (4). Accordingly, the AAO will not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

Finally, counsel's assertion that the director's denial of the petition constituted a due process violation fails. Counsel has demonstrated no error by the director in conducting his review of the petition, nor any resultant prejudice that would constitute a due process violation. See *Vides-Vides v. INS*, 783 F.2d 1463, 1469-70 (9th Cir. 1986); *Nicholas v. INS*, 590 F.2d 802, 809-10 (9th Cir. 1979); *Martin-Mendoza v. INS*, 499 F.2d 918, 922 (9th Cir. 1974), *cert. denied*, 419 U.S. 1113 (1975). As discussed previously, the petitioner has not met its burden of proof, and the denial was the proper result under the regulation.

The petitioner has failed to establish that the proposed position qualifies for classification as a specialty occupation under any of the four criteria set forth at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A). Accordingly, the AAO will not disturb the director's denial of the petition.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.