

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

D6

FILE:

[REDACTED]
EAC 07 090 52288

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUL 25 2008

IN RE:

Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

[REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

The petitioner is a naturalized citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Pakistan, as the fiancée of a United States citizen pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner had failed to establish that he and the beneficiary had personally met within the two-year period preceding the filing of the petition, as required by section 214(d) of the Act. The director also found the petitioner to be ineligible for an exemption of the meeting requirement under 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2). *Decision of the Director*, dated July 24, 2007.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of after service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b). The date of filing is not the date of mailing, but the date of actual receipt. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(7)(i).

The record indicates that the director issued the decision on July 24, 2007. It is noted that the director properly gave notice to the petitioner that he had 33 days to file the appeal. The applicant initially submitted Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, to Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) on August 13, 2007. The Form I-290B was, however, rejected as the petitioner did not submit the correct filing fee and was not properly received by CIS until Tuesday, September 4, 2007, 42 days after the decision was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

Neither the Act nor the pertinent regulations grant the AAO authority to extend the 33 day time limit for filing an appeal. As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected. Nevertheless, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case.

A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved in the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2). A motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or Service policy. A motion to reconsider a decision on an application or petition must, when filed, also establish that the decision was incorrect based on the evidence of record at the time of the initial decision. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3). A motion that does not meet the applicable requirements shall be dismissed. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4).

Here the untimely appeal does not meet the requirements of a motion to reopen or reconsider. The petitioner has submitted additional documentation in the form of an affidavit from his fiancée but the

affidavit reiterates facts already considered by the director in reaching his July 24, 2007 decision. Accordingly, the appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

ORDER: The appeal is rejected.