




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

PUBLIC COPY



D/L

FILE:



Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: JAN 13 2009

IN RE:

Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)


ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. Please refer to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5 for the specific requirements. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$585. Any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required by 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).


John F. Grissom, Acting Chief
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Haiti, as the fiancé(e) of a United States citizen pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition because the petitioner failed to submit the requested two passport-style photographs of himself. On appeal, the petitioner claims that he submitted the two photographs when he responded to the director's Request for Evidence (RFE). He, however, submits two photographs for inclusion in the record.

The director's reason for denying the petition has been overcome by the petitioner's submission of two passport-style photographs. Nevertheless, the petition may not be approved because the record does not show that the petitioner is free to marry the beneficiary.

Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act defines "fiancé(e)" as:

An alien who is the fiancée or fiancé of a citizen of the United States and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after entry. . . .

Section 214(d) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(d), states in pertinent part that a fiancé(e) petition:

[s]hall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within two years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, *and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage* in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival [emphasis added]

It was held in *Matter of Souza*, 14 I&N Dec. 1 (Reg. Comm. 1972) that both the petitioner and beneficiary must be unmarried and free to conclude a valid marriage at the time the petition is filed.

When the director initially requested the two photographs of the beneficiary on February 28, 2008, he also noted that the petitioner had submitted only a copy of the *Findings and Order from the Probate and Family Court*, not a final divorce decree. The director requested that the petitioner submit a final divorce decree for his marriage to [REDACTED] to establish that the petitioner was free to marry. When responding, the petitioner submitted another copy of the Probate and Family Court's order, not a divorce decree.

The petition is not approvable. The order from the Family and Probate Court, which is dated November 5, 2003, is an order regarding a separation agreement, not a divorce. There is no evidence that the marriage between the petitioner and his spouse, [REDACTED] has been legally terminated by death or divorce. Therefore, the AAO cannot find that the petitioner was legally able to conclude a valid marriage with the beneficiary when he filed the petition. The appeal must, therefore, be dismissed.

An application or petition that fails to comply with the technical requirements of the law may be denied by the AAO even if the Service Center does not identify all of the grounds for denial in the initial decision. *See Spencer Enterprises, Inc. v. United States*, 229 F. Supp. 2d 1025, 1043 (E.D. Cal. 2001), *aff'd*, 345 F.3d 683 (9th Cir. 2003); *see also Dor v. INS*, 891 F.2d 997, 1002 n. 9 (2d Cir. 1989)(noting that the AAO reviews appeals on a *de novo* basis).

The denial of the petition is without prejudice. Should the petitioner wish to file a new I-129F Petition, he should consult the instructions to the Form I-129F to understand the specific documents that he should file along with the petition. The petitioner may download the I-129F petition with the instructions from the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) website at www.uscis.gov, or he may call the USCIS National Customer Service Center (NCSC) at 1-800-375-5283 to have the form and the instructions mailed to his home.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. The petition is denied.