

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
20 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Rm. 3000  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**




FILE: [REDACTED]

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: **MAR 16 2009**

IN RE:           Petitioner:  
                  Beneficiary:




PETITION:   Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

  
John F. Grissom, Acting Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now on appeal before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The director's decision will be withdrawn and the matter remanded for further action.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of the Philippines, as the fiancé(e) of a United States citizen pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition because the petitioner failed to submit any initial evidence or supporting documentation. On appeal, the petitioner submits a statement and supporting documentation. The petition may not be approved, however, because the record does not contain evidence that the petitioner and the beneficiary have personally met within the last two years or a detailed explanation and evidence of the extreme hardship or customary, cultural or social practices that have prohibited their meeting.

The instructions to the I-129F petition at page 2, item #5, state that the above described documentation must be submitted. When filing the petition, the petitioner did not submit any supporting documentation, and thus the director denied the petition.

On appeal, the petitioner submits supporting documentation, including proof of his U.S. citizenship, G-325A, Biographic Information forms, passport-style photographs, and intent statements, but does not submit all of the required supporting documentation, as described on page 2 of the instructions to the I-129F petition. Accordingly, the AAO shall remand the matter to the director so that he can provide the petitioner with an opportunity to submit all of the required documentation. The director may request any additional information or evidence that he deems necessary. As always, the burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361.

**ORDER:** The director's decision is withdrawn and the matter remanded for issuance of a Request for Evidence (RFE) and entry of a new decision. If the new decision is adverse to the petitioner, the director shall certify it to the AAO for review.