



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

(b)(6)



Date: **AUG 19 2015**

FILE: [REDACTED]  
PETITION RECEIPT: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]  
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for Alien Fiancé(e) Pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

NO REPRESENTATIVE OF RECORD

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition, and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed. The petition will remain denied.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of Mexico, as the fiancée of a U.S. citizen pursuant to § 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the Form I-129F, Petition for Alien Fiancé(e), pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii), because the petitioner did not submit required initial evidence. On appeal, the petitioner submits additional evidence.

*Applicable Law*

A "fiancé(e)" is defined at Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act as:

subject to subsections (d) and (p) of section 214, an alien who -

(i) is the fiancée or fiancé of a citizen of the United States . . . and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after admission[.]

Section 214(d)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d)(1), states in pertinent part that a fiancé(e) petition:

shall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within 2 years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival, except that the Secretary of Homeland Security in his discretion may waive the requirement that the parties have previously met in person. . . .

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) states that if all required initial evidence is not submitted with the petition or does not demonstrate eligibility, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) may, in its discretion, deny the petition for lack of initial evidence. The specific requirements for filing Form I-129F, including a description of the required initial evidence, may be found in the *Instructions* to the Form I-129F.

The statutory requirement of an in-person meeting between the petitioner and the beneficiary is further explained at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2), which states, in pertinent part:

The petitioner shall establish to the satisfaction of the director that the petitioner and K-1 beneficiary have met in person within the two years immediately preceding the filing of the petition. As a matter of discretion, the director may exempt the petitioner from this

requirement only if it is established that compliance would result in extreme hardship to the petitioner . . . .

The regulation does not define what may constitute extreme hardship to the petitioner. Therefore, each claim of extreme hardship must be judged on a case-by-case basis taking into account the totality of the petitioner's circumstances.

*Factual and Procedural History*

The petitioner filed the Form I-129F on November 10, 2011, without supporting evidence. For this reason, the director denied the petition on May 11, 2012. On appeal, the petitioner provides a Texas, Certification of Vital Record documenting his birth in Texas. He does not state a reason for the appeal and does not submit any additional evidence.

*Analysis*

The petitioner has submitted evidence of his birth in the United States, which establishes that he is a U.S. citizen, but none of the other required evidence. The record still lacks evidence that the petitioner and the beneficiary met in person between November 10, 2009, and November 10, 2011, which is the two-year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition, or evidence that the petitioner merits a favorable exercise of discretion to exempt him from this requirement.

The record also lacks statements from the petitioner and the beneficiary, or other evidence, of their intent to marry one another within 90 days of the beneficiary's admission into the United States in K-1 status; two Forms G-325A, Biographic Information, for the petitioner and the beneficiary; and passport-style photographs of the petitioner and the beneficiary.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(8)(ii) states that if all required initial evidence is not submitted with the petition or does not demonstrate eligibility, USCIS may, in its discretion, deny the petition for lack of initial evidence. The petitioner did not submit the required documentation, and the beneficiary may not benefit from the instant petition. The petitioner bears the burden of proof in these proceedings.

*Conclusion*

As the petitioner still has not submitted all of the required initial evidence on appeal, the director's decision to deny the petition shall not be disturbed. In fiancée visa petition proceedings, it is the petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 214(d)(1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1184(d)(1); *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Here, that burden has not been met.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.