




U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services


(b)(6)



DATE FEB 19 2013


Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker under Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:




INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen in accordance with the instructions on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file any motion directly with the AAO.** Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,



Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as moot.

The petitioner filed this nonimmigrant visa petition to classify the beneficiary as an intracompany transferee with pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act ("the Act"), U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L). The petitioner seeks to temporarily transfer the beneficiary to the United States as an employee with specialized knowledge for a period of two years. The petitioner requested that the U.S. Consulate in Mumbai, India be notified upon approval of the petition so that the beneficiary could obtain a visa and be admitted to the United States.

The director denied the petition on December 23, 2008, and the petitioner filed a timely appeal.

A review of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) records indicates that subsequent to the denial of this petition, the petitioner filed a new Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker, on October 1, 2009. USCIS approved the petition and granted the beneficiary H-1B status from December 29, 2009 through September 30, 2012. USCIS approved a subsequent H-1B petition granting the beneficiary H-1B classification with the petitioner from November 1, 2011 through September 30, 2014. While the petitioner has not withdrawn the appeal in this proceeding, it would appear that the beneficiary is presently authorized for employment by the petitioner in H-1B status and the issues in this proceeding are moot.

Accordingly, the AAO finds that the beneficiary's current nonimmigrant status deprives this appeal of any practical significance. Considerations of prudence warrant the dismissal of the appeal as moot. *See Matter of Luis*, 22 I&N Dec. 747, 753 (BIA 1999).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed as moot.