(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

DATE: JUL 23 2013 Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker under Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at http://www.uscis.gov/forms for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** *See also* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as moot.

The petitioner filed the nonimmigrant petition seeking to employ the beneficiary under section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L), as an intracompany transferee employed in a specialized knowledge capacity. The petitioner states that it operates a hair salon and seeks to employ the beneficiary as its owner and president for a period of one year.

The director denied the petition, concluding that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary would be employed in a specialized knowledge capacity. The petitioner filed a timely appeal.

A review of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) records indicates that the beneficiary of this petition became a United States citizen on August 23, 2012.

While the petitioner has not withdrawn the appeal in this proceeding, it would appear that the beneficiary is presently a United States citizen. Accordingly, the AAO finds that the beneficiary's current status as a United States citizen deprives this appeal of any practical significance. Considerations of prudence warrant the dismissal of the appeal as moot. *See Matter of Luis*, 22 I&N Dec. 747, 753 (BIA 1999).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed as moot.