


(b)(6)


U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services




DATE: **SEP 27 2013** Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE 

IN RE: Petitioner:   
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker under Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

  
Ron Rosenberg

Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as moot.

The petitioner filed the nonimmigrant petition seeking to employ the beneficiary under section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L), as an intracompany transferee employed in a managerial or executive capacity. The petitioner states that it operates as a residential home and commercial builder. It claims to be the parent company of [REDACTED] located in Shandong, China. The petitioner seeks to employ the beneficiary as its construction manager for a period of two years.

The director denied the petition on July 18, 2011 concluding that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary had an approved petition according him managerial or executive status at least six months prior to the expiration of his or her fifth year of stay and, as such, is not eligible for the reclassification to that of a manager or executive and requested extension of stay. The petitioner filed a timely appeal.

A review of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) records indicates that the beneficiary of this petition adjusted status to that of a U.S. lawful permanent resident as of May 3, 2013.

While the petitioner has not withdrawn the appeal in this proceeding, it would appear that the beneficiary is presently a lawful permanent resident. Accordingly, the AAO finds that the beneficiary's adjustment of status deprives this appeal of any practical significance. Considerations of prudence warrant the dismissal of the appeal as moot. *See Matter of Luis*, 22 I&N Dec. 747, 753 (BIA 1999).

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed as moot.