

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)




DATE: **AUG 28 2015** OFFICE: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER FILE: 

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker under Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the petition for a nonimmigrant visa. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. We will sustain the appeal.

The petitioner filed this Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker (Form I-129), seeking to classify the beneficiary as an L-1A nonimmigrant intracompany transferee pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L). The petitioner, a Delaware corporation, is engaged in project management and software development services, and seeks to employ the beneficiary as a Solution Engineer.

On November 5, 2014, the director denied the petition, concluding that the petitioner did not establish the beneficiary possesses specialized knowledge or that he was, or will be, employed in a position requiring specialized knowledge.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a brief disputing the denial and addressing the director's adverse findings.

We conduct appellate review on a *de novo* basis. See *Soltane v. DOJ*, 381 F.3d 143, 145 (3d Cir. 2004). Upon reviewing the entire record of proceeding as supplemented by the petitioner's submission on appeal, we conclude that the record contains sufficient evidence to overcome the basis for the director's decision.

Specifically, the totality of the evidence establishes that the petitioner has satisfied the legal criteria regarding the beneficiary's claimed specialized knowledge and that he was, and will be, employed in a position requiring specialized knowledge.

In visa petition proceedings, the burden of proving eligibility for the benefit sought remains entirely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. The petitioner in the instant case has sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is sustained.