






U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

(b)(6)



DATE: **JUN 17 2015**

PETITION RECEIPT #: 

IN RE: Petitioner: 
Beneficiary: 

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



Enclosed is the non-precedent decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) for your case.

If you believe we incorrectly decided your case, you may file a motion requesting us to reconsider our decision and/or reopen the proceeding. The requirements for motions are located at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. Motions must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) **within 33 days of the date of this decision**. The Form I-290B web page (www.uscis.gov/i-290b) contains the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements. **Please do not mail any motions directly to the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed as moot.

The petitioner filed this Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker, seeking to extend the beneficiary's classification as an L-1A nonimmigrant intracompany transferee pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L). The petitioner, a Delaware corporation established in [REDACTED], states that it operates a software sales and support business. The petitioner claims to be a subsidiary of [REDACTED], located in Israel. The petitioner seeks to extend the beneficiary's employment as its Director of Corporate Accounts for a period of one and a half years.

The director denied the petition on July 29, 2010, concluding that the petitioner failed to establish that the beneficiary has been and will be employed in a primarily managerial or executive capacity in the United States. The petitioner filed a timely appeal.

A review of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services records indicates that the beneficiary of this petition adjusted status to that of a U.S. lawful permanent resident as of November 2, 2010.

While the petitioner has not withdrawn the appeal in this proceeding, it would appear that the beneficiary is presently a lawful permanent resident. Accordingly, we find that the beneficiary's adjustment of status deprives this appeal of any practical significance. Considerations of prudence warrant the dismissal of the appeal as moot. *See Matter of Luis*, 22 I&N Dec. 747, 753 (BIA 1999).

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed as moot.