



**U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services**

**Non-Precedent Decision of the
Administrative Appeals Office**

MATTER OF P-WT-EI- LTDA

DATE: MAY 9, 2016

APPEAL OF VERMONT SERVICE CENTER DECISION

PETITION: FORM I-129, PETITION FOR A NONIMMIGRANT WORKER

The Petitioner, a Brazilian limited liability partnership engaging in information technology services, seeks to extend the Beneficiary's temporary employment as an information technology specialist under the L-1B nonimmigrant classification for intracompany transferees. *See* Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) § 101(a)(15)(L), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(L). The L-1B classification allows a corporation or other legal entity (including its affiliate or subsidiary) to transfer a qualifying foreign employee with "specialized knowledge" to work temporarily in the United States.

The Director, Vermont Service Center, denied the petition. The Director concluded that the Petitioner did not establish that the Beneficiary will be employed in a position that requires specialized knowledge in the United States and did not identify what special and advanced knowledge the Beneficiary possesses.

The matter is now before us on appeal. We will summarily dismiss the appeal.

I. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

An officer will summarily dismiss an appeal when the Petitioner does not identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

II. DISCUSSION

The Petitioner marked Box 1(b) in Part 3 of the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, to indicate that a brief and/or additional evidence would be submitted within 30 days of filing the appeal. However, we did receive a brief or additional evidence within the allotted timeframe. Moreover, the Petitioner did not provide a separate statement regarding the basis of the appeal, as instructed at Part 4 of the Form I-290B. Accordingly, the record is considered complete as presently constituted.

Upon review of the appeal, we conclude that the Petitioner has not specifically identified any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact as a basis for the appeal. Further, the Petitioner has made no reference or objection to the specific findings set forth in the Director's decision.

III. CONCLUSION

The burden is on the Petitioner to show eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361; *Matter of Otiende*, 26 I&N Dec. 127, 128 (BIA 2013). Inasmuch as the Petitioner has not specifically identified an erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding, the Petitioner has not met that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v).

Cite as *Matter of P-WT-EI- Ltda*, ID# 18054 (AAO May 9, 2016)