

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY

18

DATE: DEC 12 2011

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: Petitioner: [REDACTED]
Beneficiary: [REDACTED]

PETITION: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(O)(i) of the
Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(O)(i)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Director, California Service Center, denied the nonimmigrant visa petition. The matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The AAO will dismiss the appeal.

The petitioner, a music production and consulting company, filed this nonimmigrant petition seeking to classify the beneficiary pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(O)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(O)(i), as an alien of extraordinary ability in the arts. The petitioner requested an extension of the beneficiary's O-1 status so that he could continue to work as a musician/producer for three additional years.

The director denied the petition on May 25, 2010, concluding that the petitioner failed to submit evidence to satisfy the regulatory criteria at 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(o)(3)(iv)(A) or (B), and therefore did not establish that the beneficiary qualifies as an alien with extraordinary ability in the arts. The petitioner filed a timely appeal.

A review of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services records indicates that this beneficiary is also the beneficiary of an approved employment-based immigrant visa petition. The beneficiary adjusted status to that of a U.S. permanent resident as of November 18, 2011. While the petitioner has not withdrawn the appeal in this proceeding, it would appear that the beneficiary is presently a lawful permanent resident and the issues in this proceeding are moot. Therefore, this appeal is dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.