



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

PUBLIC COPY

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

E2

FILE:

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date: JUN 26 2007

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Certificate of Citizenship under Section 320 of the former Immigration and Nationality Act; 8 U.S.C. § 1431.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert P. Wiemann".

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be rejected as untimely filed.

In order to properly file an appeal, the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(i) provides that the affected party must file the complete appeal within 30 days of service of the unfavorable decision. If the decision was mailed, the appeal must be filed within 33 days. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5a(b).

The decision in the applicant's case is dated October 11, 2006. It is noted that the director properly gave notice to the petitioner that an appeal of the decision had to be filed within 33 days, on the appropriate form (which was enclosed) and accompanied by the required fee. The applicant attempted to file his appeal on October 27, 2006. On November 3, 2006, the appeal was rejected because it was not accompanied by the appropriate fee. The appropriate fee was received on November 22, 2006, more than 33 days after the decision in his case was issued. Accordingly, the appeal was untimely filed.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(2)(v)(B)(2) states that, if an untimely appeal meets the requirements of a motion to reopen or a motion to reconsider, the appeal must be treated as a motion, and a decision must be made on the merits of the case. The official having jurisdiction over a motion is the official who made the last decision in the proceeding, in this case the district director. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(ii). The district director declined to treat the late appeal as a motion and forwarded the matter to the AAO.

As the appeal was untimely filed, the appeal must be rejected.

**ORDER:** The appeal is rejected.