

Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

PUBLIC COPY



F2

Date: DEC 09 2011

Office: CHICAGO, IL

File:



IN RE:



APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship under former section 321 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1432

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:




INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with the \$630 fee. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,


Perry Rhew
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Application for Certificate of Citizenship (Form N-600) was denied by the Field Office Director, Chicago, Illinois, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant seeks a certificate of citizenship under former section 321 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1432 claiming that he derived citizenship through his father.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish eligibility for derivative citizenship under former section 321(a)(3) of the Act because he submitted fraudulent documentation to support his claim that he was legitimized and derived citizenship through his father. *See Director's Decision*, dated June 22, 2011. The application was denied accordingly, and the applicant filed a timely appeal.

The immigration regulations at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) state, in pertinent part:

Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On appeal, counsel states that the child is legitimated and that he will submit proof in a brief to come. The record does not contain the brief and/or evidence that counsel indicated would be submitted to the AAO, and he fails to identify either on the Form I-290B or through submission of a brief or evidence any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact made by the director. The AAO, therefore, will summarily dismiss the appeal.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.