

identifying data deleted to  
prevent clearly unwarranted  
invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

**PUBLIC COPY**

E<sub>2</sub>



FILE: [REDACTED] Office: OKLAHOMA CITY, OK

Date:

JAN 24 2011

IN RE: Applicant: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of \$630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Perry Rhew  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the Field Office Director, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The applicant's Form N-600, Application for Certificate of Citizenship, indicated that he was born in Oklahoma in 1949. The applicant seeks a certificate of citizenship claiming that he is a native born U.S. citizen.

The field office director denied the applicant's claim stating that he was born in the United States and, as such, does not need any proof of citizenship beyond his birth certificate issued by the State of Oklahoma. On appeal, the applicant does not identify any error or conclusion of law or fact in the director's decision.<sup>1</sup>

8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1) states in pertinent part that:

(v) *Summary dismissal.* An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The appeal is therefore summarily dismissed.<sup>2</sup>

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.

---

<sup>1</sup> On appeal, the applicant requests oral argument. The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(b) provides that the affected party must explain in writing why oral argument is necessary. USCIS has the sole authority to grant or deny a request for oral argument and will grant such argument only in cases that involve unique factors or issues of law that cannot be adequately addressed in writing. In this case, no cause for oral argument is shown. Consequently, the request is denied.

<sup>2</sup> Certificates of citizenship are issued pursuant to section 341 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1452. Section 341 of the Act does not provide authority to the Department of Homeland Security or U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) to issue proof of citizenship to a native-born U.S. citizen.