U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

(b)(6)

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

Date: APR 19 2013 Office: LOS ANGELES, CA File:

IN RE:

APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship under Section 320 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1431.

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All of the documents related to this matter have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Please be advised that any further inquiry that you might have concerning your case must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied by us in reaching our decision, or you have additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen. The specific requirements for filing such a request can be found at 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. All motions must be submitted to the office that originally decided your case by filing a Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, with a fee of $630. Please be aware that 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i) requires that any motion must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider or reopen.

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application was denied by the Field Office Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record reflects that the applicant was born in Sri Lanka on He was admitted to the United States as a lawful permanent resident on April 21, 2002. The applicant's parents, and became U.S. citizens upon their naturalization on May 26, 2011. The applicant's eighteenth birthday was on March 25, 2011. The applicant seeks a certificate of citizenship claiming that he acquired U.S. citizenship through his parents pursuant to section 320 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1431.

The director determined that the applicant was ineligible for a certificate of citizenship because he was over the age of eighteen when his parents naturalized. *See Decision of the Field Office Director*. The application was denied accordingly. *Id.* On appeal, the applicant seeks reconsideration of his citizenship claim. *See Statement of the Applicant on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal*.

Section 320 of the Act, as amended by the Child Citizenship Act of 2000, Pub. L. No. 106-395, 114 Stat. 1631 (CCA), applies to this case because the applicant was not yet 18 years old as of the February 27, 2001 effective date of the CCA. *See Matter of Rodriguez-Tejedor*, 23 I&N Dec. 153, 156 (BIA 2001) (en banc). Section 320(a) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1431(a), provides:

> A child born outside of the United States automatically becomes a citizen of the United States when all of the following conditions have been fulfilled:
>
> (1) At least one parent of the child is a citizen of the United States, whether by birth or naturalization.
>
> (2) The child is under the age of eighteen years.
>
> (3) The child is residing in the United States in the legal and physical custody of the citizen parent pursuant to a lawful admission for permanent residence.

The record reflects that the applicant was eighteen years old when his parents naturalized in May 2011. Because the applicant was not "under the age of eighteen years" when his parents naturalized, he does not meet the requirements set forth in sections 320(a)(1) and (2) of the Act.

It is well established that the requirements for citizenship, as set forth in the Act, are statutorily mandated by Congress, and United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) lacks statutory authority to issue a certificate of citizenship when an applicant fails to meet the relevant statutory provisions set forth in the Act. A person may only obtain citizenship in strict compliance with the statutory requirements imposed by Congress. *INS v. Pangilinan*, 486 U.S. 875, 885 (1988); *Fedorenko v United States*, 449 U.S. 490, 506 (1981).

The applicant must meet his burden of proof by establishing the claimed citizenship by a preponderance of the evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 320.3. Here, the applicant has not met this burden. Accordingly, the applicant is not eligible for a certificate of citizenship under section 320(a) of the Act, and the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.