

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship
and Immigration
Services

DATE: DEC 03 2013

OFFICE: CHICAGO, IL

FILE: [REDACTED]

IN RE: [REDACTED]

APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship under former Sections 309 and 301 of the Immigration and Nationality Act; 8 U.S.C. §§ 1409 and 1401

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:
[REDACTED]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Form N-600, Application for Certificate of Citizenship (Form N-600) was denied by the Field Office Director, Chicago, Illinois (the director), and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant filed the Form N-600 on January 5, 2012 pursuant to former sections 309 and 301 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the former Act), 8 U.S.C. §§ 1409 and 1401, based on the claim that she acquired U.S. citizenship at birth through her father.

In a decision dated January 17, 2013, the director determined that the applicant had failed to establish that her father was physically present in the United States for 10 years prior to the applicant's birth, five years of which were after the applicant's father turned 14 years old, as required by section 301(a)(7) of the former Act. The director determined further that the applicant did not meet requirements for derivative citizenship under section 320 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended (the Act); 8 U.S.C. § 1431. The application was denied accordingly.

Through counsel, the applicant states on appeal that, "the director incorrectly denied the petitioners [*sic*] petition." No other claims or assertions are made on appeal, and the applicant indicates on the Form I-290B that no supplemental brief or additional evidence will be submitted.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states in pertinent part:

Summary dismissal. An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The applicant failed to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director's decision. The AAO, therefore, will summarily dismiss the appeal.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.