

(b)(6)

U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services  
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)  
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090  
Washington, DC 20529-2090



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

Date:

MAR 04 2014

Office: TAMPA, FL

File:

IN RE:

Applicant:

APPLICATION:

Application for Certificate of Citizenship under Former Section 321 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1432 (repealed)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at <http://www.uscis.gov/forms> for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** See also 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ron Rosenberg".

Ron Rosenberg  
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The Application for Certificate of Citizenship (Form N-600) was denied by the Field Office Director, Tampa, Florida (the director), and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed. The application will remain denied.

The applicant seeks a certificate of citizenship under former section 321(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1432(a) (repealed), claiming that he derived citizenship through his adoptive father.

The director determined that the applicant failed to establish eligibility for derivative citizenship under former section 321(a) of the Act because the record demonstrated that his adoptive father was a U.S. citizen by birth rather than by naturalization as required by that statute. The application was denied accordingly, and the applicant filed a timely appeal.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v), an appeal shall be summarily dismissed if the party concerned fails to specifically identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal. Here, in Part 3 of the Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion, the applicant states only that he disagrees with the director's decision. He does not identify any factual or legal error in the director's determination. The applicant checked box B on part 2 of the Form I-290B, which states that a "brief and/or additional evidence will be submitted to the AAO within 30 days." However, to date, four months later, the AAO has received nothing further from the applicant in support of his appeal.

The applicant here has failed to identify any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact in the director's decision. The AAO, therefore, will summarily dismiss the appeal.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed. The application remains denied.