U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Service
Administrative Appeals Office (AAO)
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W., MS 2090
Washington, DC 20529-2090

(b)(6)

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

[redacted]

Date: JAN 15 2015 Office: LOS ANGELES, CA FILE: [redacted]

IN RE: Applicant: [redacted]

APPLICATION: Application for Certificate of Citizenship under Section 320 of the Immigration and Nationality Act; 8 U.S.C. § 1431

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

[redacted]

INSTRUCTIONS:

Enclosed please find the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) in your case.

This is a non-precedent decision. The AAO does not announce new constructions of law nor establish agency policy through non-precedent decisions. If you believe the AAO incorrectly applied current law or policy to your case or if you seek to present new facts for consideration, you may file a motion to reconsider or a motion to reopen, respectively. Any motion must be filed on a Notice of Appeal or Motion (Form I-290B) within 33 days of the date of this decision. **Please review the Form I-290B instructions at http://www.uscis.gov/forms for the latest information on fee, filing location, and other requirements.** *See also* 8 C.F.R. § 103.5. **Do not file a motion directly with the AAO.**

Thank you,

Ron Rosenberg
Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The Field Office Director, Los Angeles, California (the director) denied the Application for Certificate of Citizenship (Form N-600) and the matter is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

Pertinent Facts and Procedural History

The record reflects that the applicant was born in wedlock on May in Mexico. The applicant's father, became a U.S. citizen upon his naturalization on October when the applicant was 13 years old. The applicant's mother became a U.S. citizen after the applicant's eighteenth birthday. The applicant seeks a certificate of citizenship claiming that he acquired U.S. citizenship through his father pursuant to section 320 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1431, as amended by the Child Citizenship Act of 2000 (the CCA), Pub. L. No. 106-395, 114 Stat. 1631 (Oct. 30, 2000).

The field office director denied the application finding that the applicant did not acquire U.S. citizenship because he had not been admitted to the United States as a lawful permanent resident as is required by section 320 of the Act. *See* Decision of the Field Office Director, dated September 16, 2013.

On appeal, the applicant notes that he is the beneficiary of an approved Form I-130, Petition for Alien Relative, and is therefore lawfully admitted. *See* Statement of the Applicant on Form I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion.

Applicable Law

We conduct appellate review on a *de novo* basis. Because the applicant was born abroad, he is presumed to be an alien and bears the burden of establishing his claim to U.S. citizenship by a preponderance of credible evidence. *See Matter of Baires-Larios*, 24 I&N Dec. 467, 468 (BIA 2008). The "preponderance of the evidence" standard requires that the record demonstrate that the applicant's claim is "probably true," based on the specific facts of each case. *See Matter of Chawathe,* 25 I&N Dec. 369, 376 (AAO 2010) (citing *Matter of E-M-*, 20 I&N Dec. 77, 79-80 (Comm'r. 1989)).

The applicable law for derivative citizenship purposes is "the law in effect at the time the critical events giving rise to eligibility occurred." *See Minasyan v. Gonzales*, 401 F.3d 1069, 1075 (9th Cir. 2005). The applicant was under 18 years of age on the effective date of the CCA, February 27, 2001. Thus, section 320 of the Act, as amended by the CCA, is applicable to his case and provides, in pertinent part, that

> (a) A child born outside of the United States automatically becomes a citizen of the United States when all of the following conditions have been fulfilled:

(1) At least one parent of the child is a citizen of the United States, whether by birth or naturalization.
(2) The child is under the age of eighteen years.
(3) The child is residing in the United States in the legal and physical custody of the citizen parent pursuant to a lawful admission for permanent residence.

Analysis

The record indicates that the applicant has not been admitted to the United States as a lawful permanent resident. The term *lawfully admitted for permanent residence* means, in part: "the status of having been lawfully accorded the privilege of residing permanently in the United States as an immigrant in accordance with the immigration laws, such status not having changed. . . ." *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1.2.

The approval of a Form I-130 filed on the applicant's behalf may establish the basis for an application for an immigrant visa or adjustment of status, but it does not accord the applicant the privilege of residing permanently in the United States. The applicant is not "residing in the United States . . . pursuant to a lawful admission for permanent residence" and, therefore, did not automatically acquire U.S. citizenship upon his father's naturalization under section 320 of the Act.

Conclusion

In application proceedings, it is the applicant's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.